

Orthogonal Generalized Higher Symmetric Reverse Bi-Derivations on Semiprime Γ - Rings

Authors Names	ABSTRACT
<p><i>Jafar Salih Aneed</i> <i>Salah Mehdi Salih</i></p> <p>Article History Publication date: 1/ 4 /2025 Keywords: Symmetric Bi-Derivation, Symmetric Reverse Bi-Derivation, Higher Symmetric Reverse Bi-Derivations, Generalized Higher Symmetric Reverse Bi-Derivations and Orthogonal.</p>	<p>The purpose of this paper is to study the concept of orthogonal generalized higher symmetric reverse bi- derivation on semiprime Γ-ring. We study some lemmas and theorems of orthogonality on semiprime Γ-rings. We prove that if M is a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ-ring then D_n and G_n are orthogonal generalized higher symmetric reverse bi-derivations associated with higher symmetric reverse bi-derivations d_n and g_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following relations are hold for all $a, b, c \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:</p> <p>i. $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$ hence $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$</p> <p>ii. d_n and G_n orthogonal and $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b) = 0$.</p> <p>iii. g_n and D_n orthogonal and $g_n(a, b)\alpha D_n(b, c) = D_n(b, c)\alpha g_n(a, b) = 0$.</p> <p>iv. $d_n G_n = G_n d_n = 0$ and $g_n D_n = D_n g_n = 0$</p> <p>v. $G_n D_n = D_n G_n = 0$.</p>

1. Introduction

If M and Γ be two additive abelian groups, then M is said to be Γ -ring if:

1. $a\alpha b \in M$ 2. $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$, $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$, $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$
3. $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$ for any $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Nobusawa 1964 [11] was the first to present the idea of Γ -ring and generalized by Barnes 1966 [3] as above. The Γ -ring M is said to be prime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and its called semiprime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ for each $a, b \in M$, also M is called n -torsion free (n -tf) if $na = 0$ for all $a \in M$ implies that $a = 0$ where n is positive integer [7]. Ozturk et al. [12] introduced his definition as follows: The mapping $d: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is called symmetric if $d(a, b) = d(b, a)$ for all $a, b \in M$. Jing in [6] introduced his definition as follows: The additive mapping $d: M \rightarrow M$ is called derivation on Γ -ring M if $d(a\alpha b) = d(a)\alpha b + a\alpha d(b)$ for each $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Ozturk et al. [12] its definition is as follows: A symmetric bi-additive mapping $d: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is called symmetric bi-derivation on M if $d(a\alpha b, c) = d(a, c)b\alpha + a\alpha d(b, c)$ for each $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. C. Jaya Subba Reddy in [4] its definition is as follows: The reverse bi-additive mapping $d: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is called symmetric reverse bi-derivation on prime ring R if satisfies the identity $d(ab, c) = d(b, c)a + bd(a, c)$ and $d(a, bc) = d(a, c)b + cd(a, c)$ for all $a, b, c \in R$. In [5] Ceven and Ozturk their definition as follows: Let D be additive mapping of M , then D is called generalized derivation on M if there exists a derivation d from M into M such that $D(a\alpha b) = D(a)\alpha b + a\alpha d(b)$ for every $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Marir and Salih in [8] are introduced their concept as follows: If $d = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of bi-additive mapping on $M \times M$ into M is called higher bi-derivation if $d_n(a\alpha b, c\alpha d) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a, c)\alpha d_j(b, d)$ for every $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. H.Majeed and S.M.Salih in [1] are introduced the definition on a generalized higher derivation A as follows: Let M be a Γ -ring, $D_n = (D_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of M such that for all

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$, we have: $D_n(a\beta b) = \sum_{i+j=n} D_i(a)\beta d_j(b)$

Ashraf M. and Jamal M. in [2] introduced the definition of orthogonal derivation on Γ -ring as follows: Let d and g be two derivations from M into M , then d and g are said to be orthogonal if $d(a)\Gamma M \Gamma g(b) = (0) = g(b)\Gamma M \Gamma d(a)$ for all $a, b, c \in M$.

Majeed A.H. and Suliman N.N. in [10] present the definition of orthogonal generalized derivation on Γ -ring M as follows: Let D and G be two generalized derivations from M into M are said to be orthogonal if: $D(a)\Gamma M \Gamma G(b) = (0) = G(b)\Gamma M \Gamma D(a)$ for all $a, b \in M$

Salih S.M. and Aneed J.S. [13] are presented and studied the concept of orthogonal on semiprime Γ -ring M as follows: Let D and G are two generalized higher symmetric reverse bi-derivations (ghsrb-d) and generalized higher symmetric bi-derivations (ghsb-d) on M , then D and G are called orthogonal if for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$D_n(a, b)\Gamma M \Gamma G_n(b, c) = (0) = G_n(b, c)\Gamma M \Gamma D_n(a, b)$$

2. Orthogonal Generalized Higher Symmetric Reverse Bi-Derivations on Semiprime Γ -ring

We will introduce the definition of orthogonality and some lemmas related to inductance and help prove some theorems.

Definition(2.1):

Let $D = (D_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $G = (G_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are two generalized higher symmetric reverse bi-derivations (ghsrb-d) on a semiprime Γ -ring M , then D and G are called orthogonal if for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$D_n(a, b)\Gamma M \Gamma G_n(b, c) = (0) = G_n(b, c)\Gamma M \Gamma D_n(a, b)$$

where $D_n(a, b)\Gamma M \Gamma G_n(b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha m \beta G_i(b, c)$ for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Example(2.2):

Let d_n and g_n are two higher symmetric reverse bi-derivations (hsrb-d) on a Γ -ring M for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M' = M \times M$ and $\Gamma' = \Gamma \times \Gamma$ we define d'_n and g'_n by $d'_n: M' \times M' \rightarrow M'$ and $g'_n: M' \times M' \rightarrow M'$ such that $d'_n((a, b), (c, d)) = (d_n(a, b), 0)$ and $g'_n((a, b), (c, d)) = (0, g_n(c, d))$ for all $(a, b), (c, d) \in M'$. Then d'_n and g'_n are (hsrb-d). Moreover, if (D_n, d_n) and (G_n, g_n) are two (ghsrb-d) on M , we defined D'_n and G'_n on M' such that $D'_n((a, b), (c, d)) = (D_n(a, b), 0)$ and $G'_n((a, b), (c, d)) = (0, G_n(c, d))$ for all $(a, b), (c, d) \in M$. Then (D'_n, d'_n) and (G'_n, g'_n) are two (ghsrb-d) on M' such that D'_n and G'_n are orthogonal.

Lemma(2.3): [5]

Let M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring and $a, b \in M$, then the following conditions are equivalent for each $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

1. $a\alpha M \beta b = 0$
2. $b\alpha M \beta a = 0$
3. $a\alpha M \beta b + b\alpha M \beta a = 0$

If one of these conditions is fulfilled, then $a\alpha b = b\alpha a = 0$

Lemma(2.4): [4]

Let M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring and $a, b \in M$ such that $a\alpha M \beta b + b\alpha M \beta a = 0$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $a\alpha M \beta b = b\alpha M \beta a = 0$.

Lemma(2.5):

Assume that D_n and G_n are bi-additive mappings on a semiprime Γ -ring M satisfies $D_n(a, b)\Gamma M \Gamma G_n(a, b) = (0)$, then $D_n(a, b)\Gamma M \Gamma G_n(b, c) = (0)$ for every $a, b, c \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof:

By assumption $D_n(a, b)\Gamma M \Gamma G_n(a, b) = (0)$ then

$$D_n(a, b) \Gamma M \Gamma G_n(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Replace a by $a + c$ in (1) for every $c \in M$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a + c, b) \alpha \beta G_i(a + c, b) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (D_i(a, b) + D_i(c, b)) \alpha \beta (G_i(a, b) + G_i(c, b)) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(a, b) + D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(c, b) + D_i(c, b) \alpha \beta G_i(a, b) + D_i(c, b) \alpha \beta G_i(c, b) = 0$$

By equation (1) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(c, b) + D_i(c, b) \alpha \beta G_i(a, b) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(c, b) = - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(c, b) \alpha \beta G_i(a, b) \quad (2)$$

Multiplication the equation (2) by $\gamma t \delta \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(c, b)$ for each $t \in M$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(c, b) \gamma t \delta \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(c, b) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime Γ -ring, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(c, b) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replace $G_i(c, b)$ by $G_i(b, c)$ in (3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha \beta G_i(b, c) = 0$

Hence $D_n(a, b) \Gamma M \Gamma G_n(b, c) = (0)$

Lemma (2.6)

Let M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring. If D_n and G_n are two (ghsrb-d) associated with two (hsrb-d) d_n and g_n respectively for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then D_n and G_n are orthogonal if and only if $D_n(a, b) \alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(b, c) \alpha D_n(a, b) = (0)$ for all $a, b, c \in M$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof:

Suppose that $D_n(a, b) \alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(b, c) \alpha D_n(a, b) = (0)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha G_i(b, c) + G_i(b, c) \alpha D_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Replace a by $w\beta a$ in (1) for all $w \in M$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w\beta a, b) \alpha G_i(b, c) + G_i(b, c) \alpha D_i(w\beta a, b) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \beta d_i(w, b) \alpha G_i(b, c) + G_i(b, c) \alpha D_i(a, b) \beta d_i(w, b) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Replace $\alpha D_i(a, b) \beta d_i(w, b)$ by $\beta d_i(w, b) \alpha D_i(a, b)$ in (2) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \beta d_i(w, b) \alpha G_i(b, c) + G_i(b, c) \beta d_i(w, b) \alpha D_i(a, b) = 0$$

By lemma (2.4) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \beta d_i(w, b) \alpha G_i(b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c) \beta d_i(w, b) \alpha D_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replace $d_i(w, b)$ by m in (3) for all $m \in M$ we get

$$D_n(a, b) \Gamma M \Gamma G_n(b, c) = (0) = G_n(b, c) \Gamma M \Gamma D_n(a, b)$$

Thus D_n and G_n are orthogonal

Now, assume that D_n and G_n are orthogonal

$$D_n(a, b) \Gamma M \Gamma G_n(b, c) = (0) = G_n(b, c) \Gamma M \Gamma D_n(a, b)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha\beta G_i(b, c) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha\beta D_i(a, b) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha\beta G_i(b, c) + G_i(b, c)\alpha\beta D_i(a, b) = 0$$

By lemma (2.3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) + \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$$

Lemma(2.7)

If M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring. Let D_n and G_n are two (ghsrb-d) associated with two (hsrb-d) d_n and g_n respectively for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then D_n and G_n orthogonal iff $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = (0)$ or $G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof :

Suppose that $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = (0)$

$$D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Replace a by $w\beta a$ in (1) for all $w \in M$ we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w\beta a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Replace $d_i(w, b)$ by m for all $m \in M$ in (2) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\beta m\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$

Then D_n and G_n orthogonal

Now, if $G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = (0)$. Then D_n and G_n orthogonal.

Conversely, assume that D_n and G_n orthogonal

Then $D_n(a, b)\Gamma M\Gamma G_n(b, c) = (0)$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha\beta G_i(b, c) = 0$

By lemma (2.3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$

Hence $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$

And by $G_n(b, c)\Gamma M\Gamma D_n(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha\beta D_i(a, b) = 0$

By lemma(2.3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0$

Hence $G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

Lemma (2.8)

If M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring. Let D_n and G_n are two (ghsrb-d) associated with two (hsrb-d) d_n and g_n respectively for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then D_n and G_n orthogonal iff $D_n(a, b)\alpha g_n(b, c) = 0$ or $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof :

Suppose that $D_n(a, b)\alpha g_n(b, c) = (0)$

$$D_n(a, b)\alpha g_n(b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Replace a by $w\beta a$ in (1) for all $w \in M$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w\beta a, b)\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Replace $g_i(b, c)$ by $G_i(b, c)$ and $\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha$ by $\alpha m\beta$ for all $m \in M$ in (2) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha m\beta G_i(b, c) = 0$$

By lemma(2.3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$

$$D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$$

By lemma(2.7) we get D_n and G_n orthogonal .

Similarly way if $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$ we get D_n and G_n are orthogonal

Conversely, assume that D_n and G_n are orthogonal.

By lemma(2.7) we get $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replace a by $w\beta a$ in (3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w\beta a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Replace $\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha G_i(b, c)$ by $\alpha d_i(w, b)\beta g_i(b, c)$ in (4) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha d_i(w, b)\beta g_i(b, c) = 0$$

By lemma(2.3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0$

$$\text{Hence } D_n(a, b)\alpha g_n(b, c) = (0)$$

Also replace a by $a\beta w$ in (3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a\beta w, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w, b)\beta d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (5)$$

Left multiplication the equation (5) by $d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c)\delta$ for all $\delta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c)\delta D_i(w, b)\beta d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime, then $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$

$$\text{Hence } d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = (0)$$

Lemma(2.9)

Let M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring. If D_n and G_n are two (ghsrb-d) associated with two (hsrb-d) d_n and g_n respectively for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then D_n and G_n orthogonal iff $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof:

Assume that D_n and G_n orthogonal

$$\text{From lemma(2.7) we get } D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{And by lemma (2.8) we get } d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{From (1) and (2) we get } D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$$

Conversely, suppose that $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$

By lemma(2.7) we get D_n and G_n are orthogonal

Now, if $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$

By lemma(2.8) we get D_n and G_n are orthogonal

3. Main Theorems

We will present and study some basic theorems for orthogonality on Γ -ring M .

Theorem(3.1):

If M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring. Let D_n and G_n are orthogonal associated with two (hsrb-d) d_n and g_n respectively for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following relations are hold for every $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$i. D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$$

hence $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

ii. d_n and G_n orthogonal and $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b) = 0$.

iii. g_n and D_n orthogonal and $D_n(a, b)\alpha g_n(b, c) = g_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$.

iv. d_n and g_n are orthogonal (hsrb-d).

v. $d_n G_n = G_n d_n = 0$ and $g_n D_n = D_n g_n = 0$

vi. $G_n D_n = D_n G_n = 0$.

Proof: (i)

Since D_n and G_n orthogonal and by lemma(2.7) we get

$$D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0 \text{ and } G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$$

$$D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = G_n(a, b)\alpha D_n(b, c) = 0$$

$$\text{Hence } D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(a, b)\alpha D_n(b, c) = 0$$

Proof: (ii)

Since D_n and G_n orthogonal, then

$$\text{By lemma(2.8) we get } d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Replace a by $w\beta a$ in (2) for all $w \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(w\beta a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Replace $d_i(w, b)$ by m in (3) for all $m \in M$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\beta m \alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (4)$$

From (i) we have $G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (5)$$

Replace a by $a\beta w$ in (5) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a\beta w, b) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(w, b)\beta d_i(a, b) = 0$$

By lemma (2.3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a, b) = 0$

$$G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b) = 0 \quad (6)$$

In the equation $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a, b) = 0$ replace a by $a\beta w$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a\beta w, b) &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(w, b)\beta d_i(a, b) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Replace $\alpha d_i(w, b)\beta$ by $\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha$ in (7) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha d_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (8)$$

Replace $d_i(w, b)$ by m in (8) for every $m \in M$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\beta m \alpha d_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (9)$$

From (4) and (9) we get d_n and G_n orthogonal

$$\text{From (1) and (6) we get } d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b) = 0$$

Proof: (iii) In the same way as used in proof (2)

Proof: (iv)

From (i) we have $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Replacing a by $a\beta w$ in (1) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a\beta w, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w, b)\beta d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Replacing a by $s\gamma a$ and $G_i(b, c)$ by $g_i(b, c)$ in (2) for each $s \in M$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w, b)\beta d_i(s\gamma a, b)\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w, b)\beta d_i(a, b)\gamma d_i(s, b)\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replace $d_i(s, b)$ by m in (3) for all $m \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(w, b)\beta d_i(a, b)\gamma m\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Left multiplication (4) by $d_i(a, b)\gamma m\alpha g_i(b, c)\delta$ for all $\delta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\gamma m\alpha g_i(b, c)\delta D_i(w, b)\beta d_i(a, b)\gamma m\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime then $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\gamma m\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0$

Thus $d_n(a, b)\Gamma M\Gamma g_n(b, c) = 0$

Similarly from (i) we have $G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$ we get $g_n(b, c)\Gamma M\Gamma d_n(a, b) = 0$

Hence d_n and g_n orthogonal (hsrb-d).

Proof: (v)

From (ii) we have $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$

$$d_n(d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c), m) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Replace a by $w\beta a$ in (1) for all $w \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(d_i(w\beta a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(d_i(a, b)\beta d_i(w, b)\alpha G_i(b, c), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b, c), m)\beta d_i(d_i(w, b), m)\alpha d_i(d_i(a, b), m) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Replace $d_i(a, b)$ by $G_i(b, c)$ in (2) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b, c), m)\beta d_i(d_i(w, b), m)\alpha d_i(G_i(b, c), m) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime, then $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b, c), m) = 0$

Thus $d_n G_n = 0$ (3)

And by (ii) $G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b) = 0$

$$G_n(G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a, b), m) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Replace a by $a\delta w$ in (4) for all $\delta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a\delta w, b), m) &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(w, b)\delta d_i(a, b), m) &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(d_i(a, b), m)\alpha g_i(d_i(w, b), m)\delta g_i(G_i(b, c), m) &= 0\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

Replace $g_i(G_i(b, c), m)$ by $G_i(d_i(a, b), m)$ in (5) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(d_i(a, b), m)\alpha g_i(d_i(w, b), m)\delta G_i(d_i(a, b), m) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime, then $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(d_i(a, b), m) = 0$

$$\text{Thus } G_n d_n = 0 \quad (6)$$

From equations (3) and (6) we get $G_n d_n = d_n G_n = 0$

In the same way we prove that $D_n g_n = g_n D_n = 0$

Proof: (vi)

Since D_n and G_n orthogonal, then $D_n(a, b)\Gamma M\Gamma G_n(b, c) = 0$

$D_n(D_n(a, b)\Gamma M\Gamma G_n(b, c), s) = (0)$ for all $s \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(D_i(a, b)\alpha m\beta G_i(b, c), s) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(G_i(b, c), s)\alpha d_i(m, s)\beta d_i(D_i(a, b), s) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Replace $d_i(D_i(a, b), s)$ by $D_i(G_i(b, c), s)$ in (1) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(G_i(b, c), s)\alpha d_i(m, s)\beta D_i(G_i(b, c), s) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(G_i(b, c), s) = 0$

$$\text{Thus } D_n G_n = 0 \quad (2)$$

And by $G_n(b, c)\Gamma M\Gamma D_n(a, b) = 0$

$G_n(G_n(b, c)\Gamma M\Gamma D_n(a, b), s) = 0$ for all $s \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(G_i(b, c)\alpha m\beta D_i(a, b), s) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(D_i(a, b), s)\alpha g_i(m, s)\beta g_i(G_i(b, c), s) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replace $g_i(G_i(b, c), s)$ by $G_i(D_i(a, b), s)$ in (3) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(D_i(a, b), s)\alpha g_i(m, s)\beta G_i(D_i(a, b), s) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime we get $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(D_i(a, b), s) = 0$

$$\text{Thus } G_n D_n = 0 \quad (4)$$

By equations (2) and (4) we get $G_n D_n = D_n G_n = 0$

Theorem (3.2):

Let M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring. If D_n and G_n are two (ghsrb-d) associated with two (hsrb-d) d_n and g_n respectively for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following relations are equivalent for every $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

- i. D_n and G_n orthogonal.
- ii. $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$.
- iii. $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + g_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)

Assume that D_n and G_n orthogonal

By theorem(3.1) (i) we have $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

Hence $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

Conversely, let $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + G_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

By lemma(2.6) we get D_n and G_n orthogonal

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)

Let D_n and G_n orthogonal

Then, by lemma(2.8) we get $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$ (1)

Since D_n and G_n orthogonal, then $G_n(b, c)\Gamma M \Gamma D_n(a, b) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha m \beta D_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (2)$$

By lemma (2.3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0$ (3)

Replace $G_i(b, c)$ by $g_i(b, c)$ in (3) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Thus $g_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

From (1) and (4) we get $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + g_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

Conversely, suppose that $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) + g_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) + g_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (5)$$

Replacing a by $t\gamma a$ in (5) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(t\gamma a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) + g_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(t\gamma a, b) = 0 \quad (6)$$

Replace $d_i(a, b)$ by $D_i(a, b)$ and $g_i(b, c)$ by $G_i(b, c)$ in (6) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\gamma d_i(t, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) + G_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b)\gamma d_i(t, b) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Replacing $\alpha D_i(a, b)\gamma d_i(t, b)$ by $\gamma d_i(t, b)\alpha D_i(a, b)$ in (7) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\gamma d_i(t, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) + G_i(b, c)\gamma d_i(t, b)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (8)$$

By Lemma (2.4) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\gamma d_i(t, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\gamma d_i(t, b)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0$$

Hence $D_n(a, b)\Gamma M \Gamma G_n(b, c) = (0) = G_n(b, c)\Gamma M \Gamma D_n(a, b)$

Hence D_n and G_n orthogonal

Theorem(3.3):

Let M be a 2-tfsp Γ -ring. If D_n and G_n are two (ghsrb-d) associated with two (hsrb-d) d_n and g_n respectively for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then D_n and G_n orthogonal iff $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$ and $d_n G_n = d_n g_n = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof:

Assume that D_n and G_n orthogonal

By lemma (2.7) we get $D_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$ (1)

And by Theorem (3.1) (ii) we get $G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b) = 0$

$d_n(G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b), m) = 0$ for all $m \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a, b), m) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Replace a by $a\beta t$ in (2) for all $t \in M$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a\beta t, b), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(t, b)\beta d_i(a, b), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(d_i(a, b), m) \alpha d_i(d_i(t, b), m) \beta d_i(G_i(b, c), m) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replace $d_i(a, b)$ by $G_i(b, c)$ in (3) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b, c), m) \alpha d_i(d_i(t, b), m) \beta d_i(G_i(b, c), m) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime we get $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b, c), m) = 0$

$$\text{Thus } d_n G_n = 0 \quad (4)$$

Also, by Theorem (3.1) (iv) we get $g_n(b, c) \Gamma M \Gamma d_n(a, b) = 0$

$$d_n(g_n(b, c) \Gamma M \Gamma d_n(a, b), m_1) = 0 \text{ for all } m_1 \in M$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(b, c) \alpha m \beta d_i(a, b), m_1) = 0 \text{ for all } m_1 \in M$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(d_i(a, b), m_1) \alpha d_i(m, m_1) \beta d_i(g_i(b, c), m_1) = 0 \quad (5)$$

Replace $d_i(a, b)$ by $g_i(b, c)$ in (5) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(b, c), m_1) \alpha d_i(m, m_1) \beta d_i(g_i(b, c), m_1) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime, then $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(b, c), m_1) = 0$

$$\text{Thus } d_n g_n = 0 \quad (6)$$

From (1), (4) and (6) we get $D_n(a, b) \alpha G_n(b, c) = (0)$ and $d_n G_n = d_n g_n = 0$

$$\text{Conversely, suppose that } D_n(a, b) \alpha G_n(b, c) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\text{And } d_n G_n = 0$$

$$(d_n G_n)(b \alpha a, c) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(b \alpha a, c), m) = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G_i(a, c) \alpha g_i(b, c), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(b, c), m) \alpha d_i(G_i(a, c), m) = 0 \quad (8)$$

Replace $d_i(g_i(b, c), m)$ by $d_i(a, b)$ and $d_i(G_i(a, c), m)$ by $G_i(b, c)$ in (8) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b) \alpha G_i(b, c) = 0$$

$$\text{Hence } d_n(a, b) \alpha G_n(b, c) = 0 \quad (9)$$

From (7) and (9) we get $D_n(a, b) \alpha G_n(b, c) = d_n(a, b) \alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$

By lemma(2.9) we get D_n and G_n orthogonal.

Theorem(3.4):

Let D_n be a (ghsrb-d) associated with (hsrb-d) d_n of a 2-tfsp Γ -ring M for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $D_n(a, b) \alpha D_n(b, c) = 0$ then $D_n = d_n = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof:

$$\text{By assumption } D_n(a, b) \alpha D_n(b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha D_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Right multiplication (1) by $\delta D_i(a, b)$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) \alpha D_i(b, c) \delta D_i(a, b) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) = 0$

Then $D_n = 0$ (2)

Replace a by $t\beta a$ in (1) for every $t \in M$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(t\beta a, b)\alpha D_i(b, c) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\beta d_i(t, b)\alpha D_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replace $d_i(t, b)\alpha D_i(b, c)$ by $D_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(t, b)$ in (3) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\beta D_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(t, b) = 0$$

By Lemma (2.3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\beta d_i(t, b) = 0$ (4)

Left multiplication (4) by $d_i(t, b)\delta$ for all $\delta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(t, b)\delta D_i(a, b)\beta d_i(t, b) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime, then $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(t, b) = 0$

Thus $d_n = 0$ (5)

From (2) and (5) we get $D_n = d_n = 0$

Theorem(3.5)

If M be a 2-tfsp Γ - ring. Let D_n and G_n are two(ghsrb-d) associated with two (hsrb-d) d_n and g_n respectively for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then D_n and g_n as well as G_n and d_n orthogonal iff $D_n = d_n = 0$ or $G_n = g_n = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof:

Suppose that D_n and g_n as well as G_n and d_n are orthogonal

By theorem (3.1)(iii) we have got $D_n(a, b)\alpha g_n(b, c) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Right multiplication (1) by $\beta D_i(a, b)$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha g_i(b, c)\beta D_i(a, b) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime we get $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b) = 0$

Hence $D_n = 0$ (2)

And by theorem (3.1)(ii) we get $d_n(a, b)\alpha G_n(b, c) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Right multiplication (3) by $\beta d_i(a, b)$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b)\alpha G_i(b, c)\beta d_i(a, b) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime we get $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(a, b) = 0$

Thus $d_n = 0$ (4)

Now, also by theorem (3.1)(iii) we get $g_n(b, c)\alpha D_n(a, b) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (5)$$

Right multiplication (5) by $\beta g_i(b, c)$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(b, c)\alpha D_i(a, b)\beta g_i(b, c) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime, then $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(b, c) = 0$

Hence $g_n = 0$ (6)

And by theorem(3.1)(ii) we have got $G_n(b, c)\alpha d_n(a, b) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a, b) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Right multiplication (7) by $\beta G_i(b, c)$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c)\alpha d_i(a, b)\beta G_i(b, c) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime, then $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i(b, c) = 0$

Hence $G_n = 0$ (8)

From (2), (4), (6) and (8) we get $D_n = d_n = 0$ or $G_n = g_n = 0$

Conversely, assume that $D_n = d_n = 0$ or $G_n = g_n = 0$

$D_n(c\alpha a, b) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$g_n(D_n(c\alpha a, b), m) = 0$ for all $m \in M$

$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(D_i(c\alpha a, b), m) = 0$

$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(D_i(a, b)\alpha d_i(c, b), m) = 0$

$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(c, b), m)\alpha g_i(D_i(a, b), m) = 0$ (9)

Replace $g_i(d_i(c, b), m)$ by $D_i(a, b)$ and $g_i(D_i(a, b), m)$ by $g_i(b, c)$ in (9) we get

$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a, b)\alpha g_i(b, c) = 0$

$D_n(a, b)\alpha g_n(b, c) = 0$

By theorem(3.1) (iii) we get D_n and g_n are orthogonal

Similarly, if $G_n = g_n = 0$ we get G_n and d_n are orthogonal.

Reference

- [1] A.H.Majeed and S.M.Salih, Jordan Generalized Higher Derivations on Prime Γ -Rings, Education College Conference, 16th, Al-Mustansiriya Univ., 2009.
- [2] Ashraf M. and Jamal M.R., Orthogonal Derivation in Γ -rings, Advance in Algebra, Vol.3, No.1, PP.1-6,2010.
- [3] Barnes, W.E. 1966. On The Γ -Rings of Nobusawa. Pacific J. Math. 18:411-422.
- [4] C. Jaya Subba Reddy, Symmetric Reverse Bi-Derivations on Prime Rings, Research J. Pharm. and Tech, 9(9)(2016) 1496-1500.
- [5] Ceven, Y. and M.A. Ozturk, M.A 2004. On Jordan Generalized Derivations In Gamma Rings. Hacettepe J. of Mathematics and Statistic, 33:11-14.
- [6] Jing, F.J. 1987. On Derivations of Γ -Rings. QUFU Shi Fan Daxue Xuebeo Ziran Kexue Ban, 13(4):159-161.
- [7] Kyuno, S. 1978. On Prime Gamma Rings. Pacific J. Math. 75: 185-190.
- [8] Marir, A. M. and Salih, S.M. 2016. Higher Bi-Derivations on Prime Gamma Rings. M.SC. Thesis Education College, AL-Mustansiriya University.
- [9] Majeed A.H. and Salih S.M., Jordan Generalized Higher Derivations on Prime Γ -ring , Education College Conference ,16th, AL-Mustansiriya University ,2009.
- [10] Majeed A.H. and Suliman N.N., On Orthogonal Generalized Derivations of Semiprime Gamma Ring, International Journal of Computational Science and Mathematics, Vol.4, No.2, PP.113-122,2012
- [11] Nobusawa, N. 1964. On Generalization of the Rings Theory. Osaka J. Math. 1: 81-89.
- [12] Ozturk M.A., Sapanci M., Soyuturk M. and Kim K.H., Symmetric Bi-Derivation on Prime Gamma Rings, Scientiae mathematics ,Vol.3,No.2, PP.273-281,2000.

[13] Salih S.M. and Aneed J.S., Orthogonal Generalized Higher Symmetric Revers Bi-Derivations and Generalized Higher Symmetric Bi-Derivations on Semiprime Γ – Rings, Education College Conference, 15th, AL-Mustansiriya University, 2023.