

Some Results On S-pure Submodules

Authors Names	Abstract
<p><i>Muna Jasime Mohammed Alir^a</i> <i>Shireen O. Dakheel^b</i> Publication date: 1 /8 /2025 Keywords: . Pure Submodules, S-pure Submodules, S-pure submodule relative to submodules.</p>	<p>Let R be a commutative ring with identity, S a multiplicatively closed subset of R, and M be an R-module. The goal of this work is to study some properties of S-pure submodules and introduce the notion of S-pure submodules relative to submodules of M as a generalization of S-pure submodules of M and prove a number of results concerning of this class of modules.</p>

1.Introduction

Let M be a unitary module defined on commutative ring R with 1. A submodule of an R -module is called pure submodule if for every finitely generated ideal I of R [1,2], A subset V of R have been said a multiplicatively closed (m.c) subset of R if: $1 \in V$ and for any v_1, v_2 in V , $v_1 v_2 \in V$. Let V be (m.c) of R and M is an R -module,

- (1) V^* a nonempty subset of M have been said V -closed if $vm \in V^*$ for every $v \in V$ and $m \in V^*$.
- (2) An V -closed subset V^* have been said saturated if the next provision is hold: where $dm \in V^*$ for $d \in R$ also $m \in M$, then $d \in V$ and $m \in V^*$ [3]

In [2] We say that a submodule N of an R -module M is S-pure if there exists an $s \in S$, where S is (m.c) such that $s(N \cap IM) \subseteq IN$ for every ideal I of R . Let Z be the ring of integers, for a prime number p , one can see that the submodule pZ of the Z -module Z is not pure. Take the multiplicatively closed subset $S = \{p^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ of Z . Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p(pZ \cap (kZ)) \subseteq (pZ)(kZ)$ implies that pZ is an S-pure submodule of Z [4].

2. Main Results.

Theorem 2.1. [1] Let N and K be two submodules of an R -module M such that $N \subseteq K \subseteq M$. Then we have the following:

- (1) If N is an S-pure submodule of K and K is an S-pure submodule of M , then N is an S-pure submodule of M .

^aUniversity of Baghdad, College of Science for women, Department of Mathematics, Baghdad, Iraq, E-Mail: munajm_math@csw.uobaghdad.edu.iq

^bUniversity of Baghdad, College of Science for women, Department of Mathematics, Baghdad, Iraq, E-Mail: shireeno_math@csw.uobaghdad.edu.iq

(2) If N is an S -pure submodule of M , then N is an S -pure submodule of K .

(3) If K is an S -pure submodule of M , then K/N is an S -pure submodule of M/N . (d) If N is an S -pure submodule of M and K/N is an S -pure submodule of M/N , then K is an S -pure submodule of M .

(4) If N is an S -pure submodule of M , then there is a bijection between the S -pure submodules of M containing N and the S -pure submodules of M/N .

Proposition 2.2: Assume that M is module. Then X is S -pure submodule if and only if for each finite sets $\{m_i\} \in M$, $\{n_j\} \in X$ with $\{r_{ij}\} \in R$ and $n_j = \sum_{i=1}^k r_{ij}m_i$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$, there is $\{x_i\} \in X$ which is finite set, when $n_j - \sum_{i=1}^k r_{ij}x_i \in X \cap K$ for each submodule K .

Proof: Let $r_{ij} \in R$ ($1 \leq j \leq l, 1 \leq i \leq k$). Therefore $n_j \in M \cap X$. There is $x_i \in X$ when $n_j = \sum_{i=1}^k r_{ij}x_i + w$, where $w \in K$ also $n_j - \sum_{i=1}^k r_{ij}x_i \in X \cap K$. The converse, take $b' \in M \cap X$. Thus $b' = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i m_i$ when $a_i \in R$ and $m_i \in M$. Exists a finite set $\{x_i\} \subseteq X$, so that, $b' - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i \in X \cap K$, since $b' - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i \in M$, thus $b' \in X$. By looking carefully at the proof of the above theorem, we have, X is a submodule of module M , then X is S -pure, if and only if, $X \cap M = X$.

Definition 2.3: Let M be a module, M is called have the S -pure submodule intersection property, if we have the intersection of two S -pure submodules is again S -pure submodule.

Proposition 2.4: Let M be a module.

1- If M has the S -pure submodule intersection property, then each S -pure submodule in M has the S -pure submodule intersection property.

2- Let X be an S -pure submodule in M . M has S -pure submodule intersection property, if and only if, $\frac{M}{X}$ has S -pure submodule intersection property.

Proof : 1- It is obvious

2- Assume that $\frac{N}{X}, \frac{K}{X}$ are two S -pure submodules in $\frac{M}{X}$. Let S be (m.c) Want to show that $s[\left(\frac{N}{X} \cap \frac{K}{X}\right) \cap I\left(\frac{M}{X}\right)] \subseteq I\left(\frac{N}{X} \cap \frac{K}{X}\right)$ for each ideal I of R and for some $s \in S$. We claim that each one is S -pure submodule. To prove this matter, let $c \in s(N \cap IM)$. Since $\frac{N}{X}$ is S -pure submodule in $\frac{M}{X}$, hence $s\left(\frac{N}{X} \cap I\left(\frac{M}{X}\right)\right) \subseteq I\frac{N}{X}$, therefore $s\left(\frac{N}{X} \cap \frac{IM+X}{X}\right) \subseteq \frac{IN+X}{X}$, then $s\left(\frac{N \cap (IM+X)}{X}\right) \subseteq \frac{IN+X}{X}$ thus, $\frac{s(N \cap IM)+X}{X} \subseteq$

$\frac{IN+X}{X}$, hence $s(N \cap IM) + X = IN + X$, and therefore $s(N \cap IM) \subseteq IN$. Since $c \in s(N \cap IM) \subseteq s(N \cap IM) + X$, thus $c \in IN + X$. Let $t + w = c$, $t \in IN$ and $w \in X$. Now consider $w = c - t \in s(X \cap IM) \subseteq IX \subseteq IN$ and therefore N is S-pure submodule. But M have the S-pure intersection property, therefore $N \cap K$ is S-pure submodule in M . Thus $s[(N \cap K) \cap IM] \subseteq I(N \cap K)$. Now, let $c \in s[(\frac{N}{X} \cap \frac{K}{X}) \cap I(\frac{M}{X})]$, therefore $t + X = c$, $t \in IM$ and $c = x + X = y + X$, when $x \in N$, $y \in K$. Therefore $c - x \in X \subseteq N$, $c - y \in X \subseteq K$ and therefore $t \in N \cap K$. Thus $t \in s[(N \cap K) \cap IM] \subseteq I(N \cap K)$. Thus $c = t + X \in I(\frac{N \cap K}{X}) \subseteq I(\frac{N}{X} \cap \frac{K}{X})$. On another side, put L, F is S-pure, suppose that X is a s -submodule of L and X be a submodule in F , thus $\frac{L}{X}, \frac{F}{X}$ are S-pure submodules of $\frac{M}{X}$. But $\frac{M}{X}$ have the S-pure intersection property, then $\frac{L}{X} \cap \frac{F}{X} \subseteq \frac{L \cap F}{X}$ is S-pure. Thus, $L \cap F$ is S-pure submodule in module M .

Theorem 2.6 : A module M owns the S-pure intersection property if and only if $s(IN \cap IK) = s[I(N \cap K)]$ for each ideal $I \in R$ and for all S-pure submodules N, K in M , where S is (m.c).

Proof : Put M have the S-pure submodule intersection property then, $N \cap K$ is S-pure submodules. Put $I \in R$, therefore $s[(N \cap K) \cap IM] \subseteq I(N \cap K)$ for some $s \in S$. Clearly that $s[I(N \cap K)] \subseteq s(IN \cap IK)$. But $s(IN \cap IK) \subseteq s[N \cap (K \cap IM)] \subseteq s[(N \cap K) \cap IM] \subseteq s[I(N \cap K)]$. Then $s[IN \cap IK] \subseteq s[I(N \cap K)]$. On the other side, put $I \in R$, and put L, F are S-pure in M , thus $s[(L \cap F) \cap IM] \subseteq s[L \cap (F \cap IM)] \subseteq s[L \cap IF] \subseteq L \cap IF$. Similarly $s[(L \cap F) \cap IM] \subseteq s[F \cap IL] \subseteq F \cap IL$. But L, F are S-pure submodules in a module M . Then $s[(L \cap F) \cap IM] \subseteq IL \cap IF = I(L \cap F)$.

Theorem 2-7:- Let S be (m.c) and M be a module. M have the S-pure submodule intersection property, if and only if, for each s-pure submodules, Z and X of a module M with for each homomorphism $g : (Z \cap X) \rightarrow M$ when $s(\text{Im } g \cap Z) + s((\text{Im } g + X) \cap IM) = \{0\}$ for some $s \in S$ and $\text{Im } g + Z$ is S-pure submodule in module M and $\ker g$ is S-pure submodule in module M .

Proof: Let S be (m.c) and M have the S-pure subhypermodule intersection property. Let N, T be S-pure submodules with $g : N \cap T \rightarrow M$ is homomorphism where $N \cap \text{Im } g = 0$ and also $\text{Im } g + T$ which is S-pure submodule in module M . Put $W = \{g(c) + c \mid c \in N \cap T\}$. W is a submodule. To show that W is S-pure submodule. Put $I \in R$ and $t = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i m_i \in s(W \cap IM)$, $s \in S$, $r_i \in R$, $m_i \in M$. Hence $t = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i m_i = m + g(m)$ for some $m \in (N \cap T)$. So $t = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i m_i = m + g(m) \in N \cap (\text{Im } g + T) \subseteq$

$\text{Img} + N$ and $\text{Im } g + N$ is S -pure submodule in module M . Thus $c = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i m_i \in s[(\text{Img} + N) \cap \text{IM}] = s[I(\text{Img} + N) \cap \text{IM}]$. Therefore $\sum_{i=0}^n r_i m_i = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i(z_i + t_i) + v$, $\beta_i z_i \in N$, $t_i \in \text{Img}$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $v \in s((\text{Img} + N) \cap \text{IM})$. Therefore $c = \sum_{i=0}^n m_i = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i z_i + \sum_{i=0}^n r_i t_i + v$, hence $c - \sum_{i=0}^n r_i m_i = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i t_i - g(c) + v \in s(\text{Img} \cap N) + s((\text{Img} + N) \cap \text{IM}) = 0$. Thus $c = r_i t_i \in s[(N \cap T) \cap \text{IN}]$. While $(N \cap T)$ is S -pure submodule in module M , thus it is S -pure submodule in N . Therefore $s[(N \cap T) \cap \text{IN}] = s[I(N \cap T)]$ by theorem (2.6). Hence $m \in s[I(N \cap T)]$. Let $c = r_i \sum_{i=0}^n w_i + h$, $w_i \in (N \cap T)$, $h \in s((N \cap T) \cap \text{IT})$. Then $g(c) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i g(w_i) + g(h)$. Now $t = c + g(c) = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i w_i + \sum_{i=0}^n r_i g(w_i) + g(h) = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i (w_i + g(w_i)) + g(h) \in \text{IW}$. Thus $s(W \cap \text{IM}) \subseteq \text{IW}$ and W is S -pure submodule in a module M . Now we want to prove, $\text{ker } g = (N \cap T) \cap W$. Suppose that $c \in \text{ker } g$, thus $c \in (N \cap T)$ also $g(c) = 0$. Therefore $c \in W$, Now let $c \in (N \cap T) \cap W$, thus $c = t + g(t)$, $t \in (N \cap T)$, then $c - t = g(t) \in s(N \cap \text{Img}) \subseteq s(N \cap \text{Img}) + s((N + \text{Img}) \cap \text{IM}) = 0$. Therefore $g(c) = g(t) = 0$ so $c \in \text{ker } g$. Since M has the S -pure submodule intersection property, then $(N \cap T) \cap W = \text{ker } g$ is S -pure in M . Reciprocally, let X, Y be two S -pure submodules in M . We define $g : (X \cap Y) \rightarrow M$ by $g(x) = 0, \forall x \in (X \cap Y)$. So it is obvious that $s(X \cap \text{Img}) + s((\text{Img} + X) \cap \text{IM}) = 0$ for some $s \in S$ and $\text{Img} + X = X$ is S -pure submodule in M , thus $\text{ker } g = (X \cap Y)$ is S -pure submodule in M .

Theorem 2.8: Let S be (m.c) and a module M have the S -pure submodule intersection property, if and only if, for each S -pure submodules W , a submodule X in module M , and for all homomorphism $g : (W \cap X) \rightarrow T$, where T is a submodule in M for this reason $s(W \cap T) + s(W + (T \cap \text{IM})) = 0$ and $W + T$ are S -pure and T is S -pure submodule.

Proof: The proof by the same of proof of the previous theorem.

3- S-Pure submodules relative to submodule.

Definition 3.1: Let S be (m.c) and M be a module also L is a submodule of M . A submodule X of M is said to be S -pure relative to submodule L (resp. S - L -pure), if there is $s \in S$ and for each $I \in R$, $s(X \cap \text{IM}) \subseteq IX + (L \cap s(X \cap \text{IM}))$.

Remark 3.2: Let S be (m.c) and M be a module also L is submodule of M

1. Let X be an S - L -pure submodule of M . If W is an S - L -pure submodule of X , then W is S - L -pure submodule in M .

2. Let X be a S-L-pure module of M . if W is a S-pure submodule of M containing X , then X is a S-L-pure in W
3. Let X be an S-L-pure submodule of M . If W is a submodule of X and so W is submodule of L , then X/W is S-L/ W -pure submodule of M/W .
4. Let X and W be submodule of M , If W is S-L-pure submodule of M and X/W is S-L/ W -pure submodule of M/W , then X is S-L-pure submodule of M .

Proof(1):

Put $I \in R$, because X is S-L-pure of M also W is S-L-pure in X , therefore $s(X \cap IM) \subseteq IX + (L \cap s(X \cap IM))$ and $s(W \cap IX) \subseteq IW + (L \cap s(W \cap IX))$ but W is submodule of M , therefore $s(W \cap IM) \subseteq s(X \cap IM) \subseteq IX + (L \cap s(X \cap IM))$ and hence $s(W \cap IM) \subseteq W \cap [IX + (L \cap s(X \cap IM))] \subseteq IX + [W \cap (L \cap s(X \cap IM))] \subseteq IX + [L \cap s(W \cap IM)]$ and since $W \subseteq X$, therefore $s(W \cap IM) \subseteq IW + [L \cap s(W \cap IM)]$. Hence $s(W \cap IM) \subseteq IW + [L \cap s(W \cap IM)]$

(2) Put $I \in R$, because X is S-L-pure in M , thus $s(X \cap IM) \subseteq IX + (L \cap s(X \cap IM))$. But W submodule of M , therefore, $s(X \cap IW) \subseteq s(X \cap IM) \subseteq IX + (L \cap s(X \cap IM))$ and hence $s(X \cap IW) \subseteq W \cap [IX + (L \cap s(X \cap IM))] \subseteq IX + [W \cap (L \cap s(X \cap IM))] \subseteq IX + [X \cap (L \cap s(W \cap IM))]$, since W is S-pure submodule of M , thus $IX + [X \cap (L \cap s(W \cap IM))] \subseteq IX + [L \cap (X \cap s(W \cap IM))] \subseteq IX + [L \cap s(X \cap IW)]$, hence $s(X \cap IW) \subseteq IX + [L \cap s(X \cap IW)]$. Thus $X \cap IW \subseteq IX + [L \cap s(X \cap IW)]$.

3. Let $I \in R$, because X is S-L-pure submodule in M , therefore $s(X \cap IM) \subseteq IX + (L \cap s(X \cap IM))$.

We want to show that $s[\frac{X}{W} \cap I(\frac{M}{W})] \subseteq I(\frac{X}{W}) + [(\frac{L}{W}) \cap s(\frac{X}{W} \cap I(\frac{M}{W}))]$,

$$s[\frac{X}{W} \cap I(\frac{M}{W})] \subseteq s[\frac{X+W}{W} \cap \frac{IM+W}{W}] \subseteq \frac{s[(X+W) \cap (IM+W)]}{W} \subseteq \frac{s(X \cap IM) + W}{W} \subseteq \frac{[X + (L \cap s(X \cap IM))] + W}{W} \subseteq \frac{X+W}{W} + \frac{[L \cap s(X \cap IM)] + W}{W} \subseteq \frac{X+W}{W} + \left[\frac{L+W}{W} \cap \frac{s(X \cap IM) + W}{W} \right] \subseteq I\left(\frac{X}{W}\right) + \left[\left(\frac{L}{W}\right) \cap s\left(\frac{X}{W} \cap I\left(\frac{M}{W}\right)\right) \right]$$

4. Clear .

Proposition 3.3 : Let S satisfying the maximal multiple condition and M be an R -module. Then we have the following.

(1) If $\{N_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a chain of S-L--pure submodules of M , then $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$ is an S-L-pure submodule of M .

(2) If N is a submodule of M , then there is a submodule K of M maximal with respect to $K \subseteq N$ and K has S-L-pure .

Proof:

(1) Let I be an ideal of R . Then there exists an $s \in S$ such that $s(N_\lambda \cap IM) \subseteq IN_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. This implies that $s(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda \cap IM) = s \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (N_\lambda \cap IM) \subseteq I \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$. Since $\{N_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a chain.

(2) Let $\Sigma = \{H \leq N | H \text{ is a S-L-pure submodule of } M\}$. Then $0 \in \Sigma$ and $\emptyset \neq \Sigma$. Let $\{N_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a totally ordered subset of Σ . Then $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda \subseteq N$ and by part (a), $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$ is an S-pure submodule of M . Thus by using Zorn's Lemma, one can see that Σ has a maximal element, K say, as needed.

Definition 3.4: A module M is called have S-pure relative to submodule L intersection property (resp. S-L-PIP) if we have the intersection of any two S-L-pure submodule is again S-L-pure.

Proposition 3.5: Let S be (m.c) and M be a module.

1. If M have the S-L-P IP, then all S-L-pure submodule of M owns the S-L-P IP.
2. Let X be s-L-pure subhypermodule of M also X is submodule of L . M have S-L-P IP if and only if M/X have S-L/X-P IP.

Proof: It is evident.

References

- [1] Mehdi, S. Abbas. 2013. Purity and Projectivity Relative to Submodule, Iraqi J. Statistic. Sci.(25): 49-63.
- [2] Ali, M. J. M. and Al Hassani, U. S. 2013. A Note on Pure Submodules Relative to Submodule, Journal of Al-Nahrain University Science. 16(4): 220- 224.
- [3] S. Visweswaran, Some remarks on multiplicatively closed sets, Arab J Math, pp 1- 17, (2013).
- [4] F. Farshadifar, A Generalization of Pure Submodules, Journal of Algebra and Related Topics Vol. 8, No 2, (2020), pp 1-8.