

**Intuitionistic fuzzy prime d-ideal of d-algebra****Ali Khalid Hasan**

Directorate General of Education in Karbala province, Ministry of Education, Iraq

E-mail: almosaoy.ali@gmail.com

Keywords: d-algebra, d-ideal, prime ideal, intuitionistic fuzzy set, fuzzy set. MSC: 03E72, 03F55, 03G25**ABSTRACT :** In this paper we introduce the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy prime d-ideals of d-algebra , and we study several interesting properties and investigate some relation on intuitionistic fuzzy prime d-ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy prime BCK-ideal in d-algebra .**1. Introduction**

BCK-algebra is a class of abstract algebras introduced by Y. Imai and K. Iseki [5, 12]. A d-algebra is a useful generalization of BCK-algebra was introduced by J. Negger and H. S. Kim [3]. J. Negger, Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim [4] discussed ideal theory in d-algebra. After the introduction of intuitionistic fuzzy set by Atanassov in 1986 [6], there was a number of generalizations of this concept. This concept was generalizations for fuzzy set concept which was introduced by Zadeh in 1965 [7]. In [10] Y. B. Jun, J. Neggers and H. S. Kim apply the ideal theory in fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebras. Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and D.S. Yoo in [10] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra. We introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebra in [1], and in this paper we introduce the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebra and some relation on intuitionistic fuzzy prime d-ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy prime BCK-ideal in d-algebra.

2. Background**Definition (2.1) :** [3] A d-algebra is any non-empty set X with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0 which satisfies that:

- I. $a * a = 0$
- II. $0 * a = 0$
- III. If $a * b = b * a = 0$ then $a = b$

$\forall a, b \in X$. We will refer to $a * b$ by ab , and it is said to be commutative if $x(xy) = y(yx)$ for all $x, y \in X$, and $y(yx)$ is denoted by $(x \wedge y)$. Every set X in the following is a d-algebra

Definition (2.2) : [4] In a d-algebra X the set $\phi \neq A \subseteq X$ is called a d-subalgebra of X if $ab \in A$ whenever $a, b \in A$. And if $\phi \neq A \subseteq X$, then A is called a BCK-ideal of X if it satisfies:

- (D₀) $0 \in A$
- (D₁) $ab \in A$ and $b \in A$ implies $a \in A$.

Definition (2.3) : [4] In a d-algebra $(X; *, 0)$, the $\phi \neq A \subseteq X$. A is called a d-ideal if it satisfies:

- (D₁) $ab \in A$ and $b \in A$ then $a \in A$.
- (D₂) $a \in A$ and $b \in X$ then $ab \in A$, i. e. $AX \subseteq A$.

Definition (2.4) : [7] A fuzzy set η in a non-empty set X is a map from X into $[0, 1]$ of the real numbers. A *level subset* of a fuzzy set η in X , is the set $\eta_t = \{a \in X, \eta(a) \geq t\}$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$.**Definition (2.5) :** [8] A fuzzy set η is called a fuzzy d-subalgebra if it satisfies $\eta(ab) \geq \min\{\eta(a), \eta(b)\}$, for all $a, b \in X$. And it's called a fuzzy BCK-ideal if it satisfies that :

- 1) $\eta(0) \geq \eta(a)$, for all $a \in X$
- 2) $\eta(a) \geq \min\{\eta(ab), \eta(b)\}$, for all $a, b \in X$

Definition (2.6) : [11] Let η be a fuzzy set, then η is called a fuzzy d-ideal of X if it satisfies : (Fd₁) $\eta(a) \geq \min\{\eta(ab), \eta(b)\}$, and (Fd₂) $\eta(ab) \geq \eta(a)$. for all $a, b \in X$.

Definition (2.7) [10] : If $r \in [0,1]$ and a fuzzy set v in a non empty set of X , the set

$U(v, r) = \{a : v(a) \geq r\}$ is called an upper r -level cut of v , and the set

$L(v(a), r) = \{a : v(a) \leq r\}$ is called a lower r -level cut of v .

Definition (2.8) [6] : An IFS " intuitionistic fuzzy set " A in a set X is an object having the form $A = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a), \beta_A(a) \rangle : a \in X \}$, such that $\alpha_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\beta_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ denoted the degree of membership (namely $\alpha_A(a)$) and the degree of non membership (namely $\beta_A(a)$) for any elements $a \in X$ to the set A , and $0 \leq \alpha_A(a) + \beta_A(a) \leq 1$, for all $a \in X$.

To simplicity, we shall use $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ instead of $A = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a), \beta_A(a) \rangle : a \in X \}$.

Definition (2.9) [9] : If A is an IFS from non empty set X , we define

$$(i) \square A = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a) : a \in X \rangle \} = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a), 1 - \alpha_A(a) : a \in X \rangle \} = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a), \overline{\alpha_A}(a) \rangle \}$$

$$(ii) \diamond A = \{ \langle a, 1 - \beta_A(a) : a \in X \rangle \} = \{ \langle a, 1 - \beta_A(a), \beta_A(a) : a \in X \rangle \} = \{ \langle a, \overline{\beta_A}(a), \beta_A(a) \rangle \}$$

Definition (2.10) [10] : Let X be a d-algebra . An IFS $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ in X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra if satisfies $\alpha_A(ab) \geq \min\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$ and $\beta_A(ab) \leq \max\{\beta_A(a), \beta_A(b)\}$, for all $a, b \in X$.

Proposition(2.11) [10] : Every IFS d-algebra $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ of X satisfies $\alpha_A(0) \geq \alpha_A(a)$ and $\beta_A(0) \leq \beta_A(a) \forall a \in X$.

Definition (2.12) [10]: An IFS $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ in X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy BCK-ideal of X if it satisfies that:

$$(i) \alpha_A(0) \geq \alpha_A(a) , \beta_A(0) \leq \beta_A(a)$$

$$(ii) \alpha_A(a) \geq \min\{\alpha_A(ab), \alpha_A(b)\}$$

$$(iii) \beta_A(a) \leq \max\{\beta_A(ab), \beta_A(b)\} , \text{ for all } a, b \in X$$

Definition(2.13) : [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X " shortly *IFd - ideal* " is the IFS $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ in X with the following inequalities :

$$(IFd_1) \alpha_A(a) \geq \min\{\alpha_A(ab), \alpha_A(b)\}$$

$$(IFd_2) \beta_A(a) \leq \max\{\beta_A(ab), \beta_A(b)\}$$

$$(IFd_3) \alpha_A(ab) \geq \alpha_A(a)$$

$$(IFd_4) \beta_A(ab) \leq \beta_A(a) , \text{ for all } a, b \in X$$

Lemma (2.14) : [1] An IFS $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFd - ideal* of X if and only if α_A and $\overline{\beta_A}$ are a fuzzy d-ideals .

Theorem (2.15) : [1] Let $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ be an IFS in X . Then $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFd - ideal* of X if and only if $\square A = \{ \langle \alpha_A, \overline{\alpha_A} \rangle \}$ and $\diamond A = \{ \langle \overline{\beta_A}, \beta_A \rangle \}$ are *IFd - ideal* of X .

Theorem (2.16) : [1] " An IFS $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFd - ideal* of X if and only if for all $s, t \in [0,1]$ the sets $U(\alpha_A, t)$ and $L(\beta_A, s)$ are either empty or d-ideal of X . "

Theorem (2.17) : [1] " If an IFS $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFd - ideal* of X , then the sets $X_\alpha = \{x \in X : \alpha_A(x) = \alpha_A(0)\}$ and $X_\beta = \{x \in X : \beta_A(x) = \beta_A(0)\}$ are d-ideal of X . "

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy prime d-ideal

Definition(3.1) : In a commutative d-algebra X , a d-ideal I is said to be prime if $a \wedge b \in I$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$, for all $a, b \in X$.

Example (3.2) : Let $X = \{0, \eta, \acute{r}, \acute{s}\}$ be a d-algebra with the following table

*	0	η	η	\acute{r}	\acute{s}
0	0	0	0	0	0
η	η	0	η	0	η
η	η	η	0	\acute{r}	0
\acute{r}	\acute{r}	\acute{r}	η	0	η
\acute{s}	\acute{r}	\acute{r}	η	η	0

We can take $I = \{0, \eta\}$, which is a prime d-ideal

Definition(3.3) : An *IFd – ideal* $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ of X is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime d-ideal " shortly *IFPd – ideal* " in X if the *IFS* in X with the following inequalities :

$$(IFPd_1) \alpha_A(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$$

$$(IFPd_2) \beta_A(a \wedge b) \geq \min\{\beta_A(a), \beta_A(b)\} , \text{ for all } a, b \in X$$

Example (3.4) : Let $X = \{0, p, q\}$ with the following table

*	0	p	q
0	0	0	0
p	q	0	q
q	p	p	0

Note that if $\alpha_A(a) = \begin{cases} 0.9 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 0.01 & \text{if } a = p, q \end{cases}$, $\beta_A(a) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 0.5 & \text{if } a = p, q \end{cases}$,

so $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is *IFd – ideal* by [1], and it is clear that $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFPd – ideal*.

Remark (3.5) : It is easy to show that every *IFPd – ideal* is an *IFP – BCK – ideal*.

The converse of this remark cannot be true in general , and the next example showing that

Example (3.6) : Let $X = \{0, \eta, \rho, \acute{r}\}$ with the following table

*	0	η	η	ρ
0	0	0	0	0
η	η	0	0	0
η	η	η	0	0
ρ	ρ	η	η	0



Let $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ be an *IFS* in X , define $\alpha_A(0) = 1$, $\alpha_A(m) = 0.9$, $\alpha_A(n) = 0.5$, $\alpha_A(p) = 0$

$\beta_A(0) = 0$, $\beta_A(m) = 0.1$, $\beta_A(n) = 0.5$, $\beta_A(p) = 1$, Then $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ in X is an *IFP* - *BCK* - *ideal* of X but it is not an *IFPd* - *ideal* (in fact it is not *IFd* - *ideal*),

where $\alpha_A(n) = 0.5 \geq \min\{\alpha_A(nm), \alpha_A(m)\} = 0.9$.

Theorem (3.7) : If $\{A_i, i \in \Lambda\}$ is an arbitrary family of *IFPd* - *ideal*, then $\bigcap A_i$ is an *IFPd* - *ideal*, when $\bigcap A_i = \{\langle a, \bigwedge \alpha_{A_i}(a), \bigvee \beta_{A_i}(a) \mid a \in X \rangle\}$

Proof : Since $\alpha_A(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$ and $\beta_A(a \wedge b) \geq \min\{\beta_A(a), \beta_A(b)\} \forall a, b \in X$. Now for all $i \in \Lambda$ $\bigwedge \alpha_{A_i}(a \wedge b) \leq \bigwedge \{\max\{\alpha_{A_i}(a), \alpha_{A_i}(b)\}\} \leq \{\max\{\bigwedge \alpha_{A_i}(a), \bigwedge \alpha_{A_i}(b)\}\}$, and

$$\bigvee \beta_{A_i}(a \wedge b) \geq \bigvee \{\min\{\beta_{A_i}(a), \beta_{A_i}(b)\}\} \geq \{\min\{\bigvee \beta_{A_i}(a), \bigvee \beta_{A_i}(b)\}\}$$

Hence $\bigcap A_i = \{\langle a, \bigwedge \alpha_{A_i}(a), \bigvee \beta_{A_i}(a) \mid a \in X \rangle\}$ is an *IFPd* - *ideal*.

Lemma (3.8) : An *IFS* $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFPd* - *ideal* of X if and only if α_A and $\overline{\beta_A}$ are a fuzzy prime d-ideals.

proof : Suppose that $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ an *IFPd* - *ideal* of X . Since $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFd* - *ideal* (by Theorem (3.5)), so (by Lemma (2.14)) α_A and $\overline{\beta_A}$ are a fuzzy d-ideals. Now for all $a, b \in X$ we get $\alpha_A(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$ and $\beta_A(a \wedge b) \geq \min\{\beta_A(a), \beta_A(b)\}$,

$$\text{Now } \overline{\beta_A}(a \wedge b) = 1 - \beta_A(a \wedge b) \geq 1 - \min\{\beta_A(a), \beta_A(b)\}$$

$$= \max\{1 - \beta_A(a), 1 - \beta_A(b)\}$$

$$= \max\{\overline{\beta_A}(a), \overline{\beta_A}(b)\}$$

Hence α_A and $\overline{\beta_A}$ are a fuzzy prime d-ideals. The converse is clear.

Theorem (3.9) : Let $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ be an *IFPd* - *ideal* of X , then $\square A = \{\langle \alpha_A, \overline{\alpha_A} \rangle\}$ and $\diamond A = \{\langle \overline{\beta_A}, \beta_A \rangle\}$ are *IFPd* - *ideals* of X .

proof : Since $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFPd* - *ideal*, we get that $\square A = \{\langle \alpha_A, \overline{\alpha_A} \rangle\}$ and $\diamond A = \{\langle \overline{\beta_A}, \beta_A \rangle\}$ are *IFd* - *ideals* (by Theorem (2.15)). So for all $a, b \in X$ we get $\alpha_A(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$, then $1 - \alpha_A(a \wedge b) \geq 1 - \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$

$$\geq \min\{1 - \alpha_A(a), 1 - \alpha_A(b)\}$$

$$\geq \min\{\overline{\alpha_A}(a), \overline{\alpha_A}(b)\}$$

Hence $\square A = \{\langle \alpha_A, \overline{\alpha_A} \rangle\}$ is an *IFPd* - *ideal* of X .

And $\beta_A(a \wedge b) \geq \min\{\beta_A(a), \beta_A(b)\}$,

$$\text{Now } \overline{\beta_A}(a \wedge b) = 1 - \beta_A(a \wedge b) \leq 1 - \min\{\beta_A(a), \beta_A(b)\} \leq \max\{1 - \beta_A(a), 1 - \beta_A(b)\}$$

$$\leq \max\{\overline{\beta_A}(a), \overline{\beta_A}(b)\}$$

Hence $\diamond A = \{\langle \overline{\beta_A}, \beta_A \rangle\}$ is an *IFPd* - *ideal* of X .

Theorem (3.10) : $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFPd* - *ideal* if and only if for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$ the sets $U(\alpha_A, t)$ and $L(\beta_A, s)$ are prim d-ideals.

proof : Let $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ be an *IFPd - ideal* of X , so it is an *IFd - ideal*, and let $U(\alpha_A, t), L(\beta_A, s)$ non empty set for any $s, t \in [0,1]$. So (by Theorem (2.16)), $U(\alpha_A, t), L(\beta_A, s)$ are d-ideal . Now let $a, b \in X$ such that $a \wedge b \in U(\alpha_A, t)$ this implies $\alpha_A(a \wedge b) \geq t$, and $\alpha_A(b) \geq t$ then $\alpha_A(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$, and hence $\max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\} \geq \alpha_A(a \wedge b) \geq t$. Then $\alpha_A(a) \geq t$ or $\alpha_A(b) \geq t$ so that $a \in U(\alpha_A, t)$ or $b \in U(\alpha_A, t)$. Hence $U(\alpha_A, t)$ is a prime d-ideal in X . In the same way we can find that $L(\beta_A, s)$ is a prime d-ideal in X .

Conversely, suppose that for all $s, t \in [0,1]$, the set $U(\alpha_A, t)$ and $L(\beta_A, s)$ are prime d-ideals. Then $U(\alpha_A, t)$ and $L(\beta_A, s)$ are d-ideals of X . Since $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ *IFd - ideal* by (theorem 2.16). If $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is not *IFPd - ideal* of X , then there exist $a, b \in X$ such that $\alpha_A(a \wedge b) > \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$. Let $t = \frac{1}{2}[(\alpha_A(a \wedge b) + \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\})]$, this implies $(\alpha_A(a \wedge b) > t > \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\})$. we get that $a \wedge b \in U(\alpha_A, t)$, but $a \notin U(\alpha_A, t)$ and $b \notin U(\alpha_A, t)$ which is a contradiction . Hence $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFPd - ideal* of X .

Theorem (3.11) : If an *IFS* $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFPd - ideal*, then the sets $X_\alpha = \{a \in X: \alpha_A(a) = \alpha_A(0)\}$ and $X_\beta = \{a \in X: \beta_A(a) = \beta_A(0)\}$ are prime d-ideals.

proof : Let $a \wedge b \in X_\alpha$, so $\alpha_A(a \wedge b) = \alpha_A(0)$. Since $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFPd - ideal*, then $\alpha_A(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$, but $\alpha_A(0) \geq \alpha_A(a)$, so $\alpha_A(0) = \max\{\alpha_A(a), \alpha_A(b)\}$. Now either $\alpha_A(a) = \alpha_A(0)$ and this implies $a \in X_\alpha$, or $\alpha_A(b) = \alpha_A(0)$ and hence $b \in X_\alpha$. Thus X_α is a prime d-ideal .

Now let $a \wedge b \in X_\beta$. Then $\beta_A(a \wedge b) = \beta_A(0)$, so $\beta_A(a \wedge b) = \beta_A(0) \geq \min\{\beta_A(a), \beta_A(b)\}$ (since $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ is an *IFPd - ideal*), but $\beta_A(0) \leq \beta_A(a)$, so either $\beta_A(a) = \beta_A(0)$ then $a \in X_\beta$, or $\beta_A(b) = \beta_A(0)$ then $b \in X_\beta$. Thus X_β is a prime d-ideal .

The proof of the next tow propositions is clear .

Proposition (3.12) : Let $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ be an *IFPd - ideal*, then the sets $P_1 = \{a \in X: \alpha_A(a) = 0\}$ and $P_2 = \{a \in X: \beta_A(a) = 0\}$ either empty or prime d-ideals.

Proposition (3.13) : Let $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ be an *IFPd - ideal*, then the sets $I_1 = \{a \in X: \alpha_A(a) = 1\}$ and $I_2 = \{a \in X: \beta_A(a) = 1\}$ are either empty or prime d-ideals.

الخلاصة : قدمنا في هذا البحث مفهوم مثالي d الأولي الضبابي البديهي وتطرقنا إلى العديد من الخصائص المهمة حول هذا المفهوم وبعض ارتباطاته بالإضافة إلى دراسة بعض العلاقات على مثالي d البديهي الأولي ومقارنته بمثالي BCK البديهي الأولي في جبر d .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Hasan , " On Intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of d-algebra " , Journal University of Kerbala , Vol. 15 No.1 Scientific . 2017 161-169
- [2] D. Coker, " An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 88 (1997), 81–89.
- [3] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, " on d-algebra " , Math. Slovaca . 49(1999) No.1, 19-26.
- [4] J. Neggers; Y. B. Jun; H. S. Kim , " On d-ideals in d-algebras " , Mathematica Slovaca. 49 (1999), No. 3, 243--251
- [5] K. Iseki, " An algebra Relation with Propositional Calculus " Proc. Japan Acad, 42 (1966) 26-29.
- [6] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets" , Fuzzy sets and Systems 35 (1986), 87–96.
- [7] L. A. Zadeh , " Fuzzy set ", Inform. And Control. 8(1965), 338-353.
- [8] M. Akram K. H. Dar " On Fuzzy d-algebras " , Journal of Mathematics 37(2005), 61-76
- [9] P. A.Ejegwa, S.O. Akowe, P.M. Otene, J.M. Ikyule, "An Overview On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets " International Journal of scientific & technology research , 3(2014) , 3, 2277-8616
- [10] Y. B. Jun , H. S. Kim and D.S. Yoo, " Intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra" , Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, e-(2006), 1289–1297 .
- [11] Y. B. Jun, J. Neggers, and H. S. Kim, " Fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebras " , J. Fuzzy Math. 8(2000), No. 1, 123–130.
- [12] Y. Iami and K. Iseki, " On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi XIV " Proc. Japan Acad, 42 (1966) 19-20.