

Semi-approximately 2-Absorbing Sub-module and Semi-approximately 2-Absorbing Module

Authors Names	ABSTRACT
<p>Safa Hussam Kadhim^a Farhan Dakhil Shyaa,^{b,*}</p> <p>Article History Published on: 26 /7/ 2023 Keywords: 2-absorbing sub-module, semi 2-absorbing sub-module, semi-approximately 2-absorbing</p>	<p>In this paper we define and study new concept , denoted by semi-approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M if whenever $a \in R, m \in M$ and $a^3m \in N$ implies that either $a^2m \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N :_R M)$ And M is called Semi-approximately 2-absorbing Module if zero sub-module is Semi-approximately 2-absorbing sub-module. As generalization to semi 2-absorbing submodule. Many properties and examples are introducing of this concept.</p>

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R commutative ring with identity and M unitary R -module. It is well known a proper sub-module N of M is called prime sub-module $rx \in N, r \in R, x \in M$ implies that $x \in N$ or $r \in (N : M)$ [1]. Where $(N : M) = \{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}$. As a generalization of prime sub-module semi-prime sub-module N is called semi-prime sub-module if whenever $r \in R, x \in M$ with $r^2x \in N$ implies that $rx \in N$ [2]. N is called 2-absorbing sub-module if whenever $a, b \in R, m \in M$ and $abm \in N$, then either $am \in N$ or $ab \in (N : M)$ [3]. As a generalization of 2-absorbing submodule in [4] N is called semi-2-absorbing submodule if whenever $a \in R, m \in M$ and $a^2m \in N$ implies that either $am \in N$ or $a^2 \in (N : M)$. This led us introduce the concept semi- approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M if whenever $a \in R, m \in M$ and $a^3m \in N$ implies that either $a^2m \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N :_R M)$ and semi-approximately 2-absorbing module . We provide many properties, characterizations and relationship between semi-approximately 2-absorbing and other concepts.

2. Semi- approximately 2-absorbing submodule

In this section we define new concepts and study some properties and relatives with other classes of submodules

Definition 2.1: A proper submodule N of R -module M is called semi- approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M if whenever $a \in R, m \in M$ and $a^3m \in N$ implies that either $a^2m \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N :_R M)$.

A proper ideal I of a ring R is called semi- approximately 2-absorbing ideal if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $a^3b \in I$ implies that either $a^2b \in I$ or $a^3 \in I$

Remarks and Examples 2.2:

(1) It is clear that every semi 2-absorbing submodule is a semi approximately -2-absorbing .

Safa Hussein Kadhim , Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al Diwaniyah, Iraq. edu-math.post33@qu.edu.iq

Farhan Dakhil Shayaa, Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al Diwaniyah, Iraq.: farhan.shyaa@qu.edu.iq

Proof: Let $a^3m \in N$ so $a^2(am) \in N$, put $am = m'$ get $am = m' \in N$, $a^2(m') \in N$ we get $a(m') \in N$ or $a^2M \subseteq N$ so $a(am) \in N$ or $a^3M \subseteq N$ then $a^2m \in N$ or $a^3M \subseteq N$. But the converse is not true, for example:

-Consider in the Z -module Z_8 Let $N=(0)$ and $2^3 \cdot 1 = 0 \in N$, $2^2 \cdot 1 \notin N$, but $2^3Z_8 = (0) \subseteq N$ so N is a semi- approximately 2-absorbing but

$$2^2 \cdot 2 = 0 \in N \text{ and } 2 \cdot 2 = 4 \notin N \text{ and } 2^2Z_8 = 4Z_8 \not\subseteq N.$$

-Consider in the Z -module $36Z$ is not semi 2-absorbing sub module since: $3^2 \cdot 4 = 12 \in N$, but $3 \cdot 4 = 12 \notin 36Z$ and $3^2 \notin (36Z : Z) = 36Z$.

(2) Every semi-prime submodule is a semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule. But the converse is not true, for example:

(0) in the Z -module Z_4 is a semi- approximately 2-absorbing submodule of Z_4 , but (0) not semi-prime since $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 0$ but $2 \cdot 1 \neq 0$

(3) It is clear that every approximately 2-absorbing submodule is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule. However the converse is not true in general as we shown in

the following example: Consider $Z \oplus Z$ as Z -module and $N=6Z \oplus (0)$ a submodule of $Z \oplus Z$ but N is not approximately 2-absorbing submodule by Examples (1.2.1)part (2). But N is a semi-approximately 2-absorbing submodule, since if $a^3(m_1, 0) \in 6Z \oplus (0)$, then, $a^3m_1 \in 6Z$ but it is clear that $6Z$ is a semi-prime. So $a^2m_1 \in 6Z$ and; that is

$$a^2(m_1, 0) \in 6Z \oplus (0) = N. \text{ Thus } N \text{ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing.}$$

(4) Every quasi-prime submodule is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule. But the converse is not true in general for example :

(0) in the Z -module Z_4 is a semi-2-absorbing submodule of Z . but (0)

not quasi-prime since $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 0$ but $2 \cdot 1 \neq 0$

(5) Let N, K be a submodules of R -module M and $N \subseteq K$. If N is a semi approximately -2 absorbing of M then N is a semi approximately -2-absorbing.

Proof : Let $a \in R$, $m \in K$ such that $a^3m \in N$. Since $K \subseteq M$ then $m \in M$ as N is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule of M and $a^3m \in N$ then

$$\text{either } a^2m \in N \text{ or } a^3 \in (N :_R M).$$

If $a^3 \in (N :_R M)$. then $a^3M \subseteq N$, and since $K \subseteq M$ implies, $a^3K \subseteq a^3M$

hence $a^3K \subseteq N$, therefore $a^3 \in (N :_R K)$.

Thus N is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule of K .

proposition 2.3: Let M be an R -module and N submodule of M , $K \subseteq M$. Then N is a semi

approximately if and only if $a^3K \subseteq N$ implies $a^2K \subseteq N$ or $a^3 \in (N: M)$.

Proof: (\Leftarrow) It is clear

(\Rightarrow) Let $a^3K \subseteq N$ Suppose there exists $x \in K$ such that $a^2x \notin N$

Since $a^3K \subseteq N$, so $a^3k \in N$ for each $k \in K$, but N is a semi approximately -2-absorbing and $a^2x \notin N$. Hence $a^3 \in (N: M)$.

Proposition 2.4: Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . if N is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule of M then $(N: M)$ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal.

Proof : Let $a, b \in R$ such that $a^3b \in (N: M)$. then $a^3bM \subseteq N$ So $a^3bm \in N$ for each $m \in M$ and assume that $a^3 \in (N: M)$.

Since N is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule then $a^2bm \in N$ for each $m \in M$ So. $a^2b \in (N: M)$. Thus $(N: M)$ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal.

The converse of Proposition 2.4 hold under the class of multiplication modules.

Proposition 2.5: Let N be a submodule of a multiplication R -module M such that

$(N:R M)$ is a semi approximately 2-absorbing ideal of R . Then N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Proof: Let $a, b \in R, m \in M$, and $a^3m \in N$ then $a^3(m) \subseteq N$. Since M is a

multiplication R -module, there exists an ideal I of R such that $(m) = IM$. Thus $a^3IM \subseteq N$. Hence, $a^3I \subseteq (N:RM)$. Now by assumption, $(a^2I) \in (N:R M)$ or $a^3 \in (N:R M)$ Therefore $a^2IM \subseteq N$ or $a^3 \in (N:RM)$. Thus $a^2(m) \subseteq N$ or $a^3 \in (N:R M)$. thus N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Corollary 2.6: Let N a submodule of cyclic R -module M . Then N is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule if and only if $(N: M)$ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal.

Proof : Since every cyclic module over commutative ring is a multiplication module, hence by Proposition 2.6 the result is obtained.

Proposition 2.7: Let M be a faithful finitely generated multiplication R -module, N a proper submodule of M . Then the following statement are equivalent:

- (1) N is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule of M .
- (2) $(N: M)$ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal.
- (3) $N = IM$ for some semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal I of R .

Proof: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) By Proposition (2.5) and (2.6)

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is clear

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a^3m \in N$, hence $a^3(m) \subseteq N$. Since M is multiplication(m)=JM for some ideal J of R . Hence $a^3JM \subseteq IM$ as M is finitely generated faithful multiplication, so $a^3J \subseteq I$. But I is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal, so either $J^2 \subseteq I$ or $a^2 \in (I:R)$.

by Proposition (2.4) This implies $a^2JM \subseteq IM = N$ or

$a^2 \in I = (IM:M) = (N:M)$. thus $a^2m \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N:RM)$.

Proposition 2.8: Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . The following statements are equivalent :

- (1) N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M .
- (2) $(N :_M I)$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing, for each ideal I of R with $IM \not\subseteq N$
- (3) $(N :_M (r))$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule for each $r \in R$ with $rM \not\subseteq N$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let I be an ideal of R with $IM \not\subseteq N$ then $(N :_M I) \neq M$

Let $a, b \in R, m \in M$, then $a^3(Im) \subseteq N$. But N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M , so by Proposition(2.1.3), either $a^2(Im) \subseteq N$ or $a^3 \in (N : M)$. Hence either $a^2m \in (N : I)$ or $a^3 \in (N_M : I : M)$. Thus $(N :_M I)$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Take $r=1$ then $(N : (1)) = N$, so N is semi approximately 2-absorbing.

Proposition 2.9: Let M be an R -module, N a proper submodule of M , if N is semi approximately 2-absorbing then $(N :_R \langle m \rangle)$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing ideal of R for each $m \in M - N$.

Proof: Let $a^3 b \in (N :_R \langle m \rangle)$ for some $m \in R$, then $(a^3b)m \in N$, but N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule then $a^2(bm) \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N :_R M)$,

so that $a^2 b \in (N :_R M)$ or $a^3 \in (N :_R M)$ hence $(N :_R (m))$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing ideal of R .

Proposition 2.10: Let N be a submodule of an R -module M . Then N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if $(N : a^3 m) = (N : a^2 m)$ for each $m \in M$ or $a^3 \in (N :_R M)$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose $a^3 \notin (N :_R M)$. To prove $(N : a^3 m) = (N : a^2 m)$ It is clear that $(N : a^2 m) \subseteq (N : a^3 m)$.

Let $r \in (N : a^3 m)$, then $a^3 r m \in N$ and since N is semi approximately -2-absorbing and $a^3 \notin (N :_R M)$ so $a^2 r m \in N$ and hence $r \in (N : a^2 m)$. Thus $(N : a^3 m) = (N : a^2 m)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $a^3 m \in N$. Then $(N : a^3 m) = R$. But $(N : a^3 m) = (N : a^2 m)$ or

$a^3 \in (N : M)$ by hypothesis. Therefore $(N : a^2 m) = R$ and hence $a^2 m \in N$. So either $a^2 m \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N :_R M)$. Hence N is semi- approximately 2-absorbing.

Proposition 2.11: $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism, such that $\ker f \subseteq N$ and N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M then $f(N)$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M' .

proof: Let $a^3m' \in f(N), m' \in M', a \in R$ since f is onto, $m' = f(m)$ for some $m \in M$ then $a^3f(m) \in f(N)$ so $abf(m) = f(n)$, for some $n \in N$

we get $a^3m - n \in \ker f \subseteq N$ implies that $a^3m \in N$ but

(N is semi approximately 2-absorbing) so either $a^2m \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N : M)$

if $a^2m \in N$ Then $a^2f(m) \in f(N)$ so $a^2m' \in f(N)$

if $a^3 \in (N : M)$ then $a^3M \subseteq N$ and so $a^3f(M) \subseteq f(N)$ and we get

$a^3 \in (f(N) : f(M))$ Then $f(N)$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M' .

Corollary 2.12: Let N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M with $K \subseteq N$ then $\frac{N}{K}$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of $\frac{M}{K}$.

Proof: Let $\pi : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{K}$, π is the natural epimorphism and hence $\ker \pi = K \subseteq N$

then $\frac{N}{K}$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of $\frac{M}{K}$.

Proposition 2.13: Let $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ be an R -epimorphism. If W is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M' , then $\varphi^{-1}(W)$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M .

proof: Let $a^3m \in \varphi^{-1}(W)$ where $a \in R, m \in M$, then $\varphi(a^3m) \in W$ that is $a^3\varphi(m) \in W$ and W is semi approximately 2-absorbing then either

$a^2\varphi(m) \in W$ or $a^3 \in (W : M')$ that is $a^2m \in \varphi^{-1}(W)$ and if

$a^3 \in (W : M')$ then $a^3M' \subseteq W$ but $\varphi(M) \subseteq M'$ so $a^3\varphi(M) \subseteq W$ that is $a^3M \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(W)$ so $a^3 \in (\varphi^{-1}(W) : M)$.

then $\varphi^{-1}(W)$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Corollary 2.14: Let M R -module and $K \subseteq N < M$ if $\frac{N}{K}$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of $\frac{M}{K}$. then N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Proof: Let $\pi : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{K}$, π is the canonical epimorphism, $\pi^{-1}(\frac{N}{K})$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing, since $\frac{N}{K}$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of $\frac{M}{K}$. but $\pi^{-1}(\frac{N}{K}) = N$, so N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Proposition 2.15 : Let M be a module over a Principal Ideal Ring (P.I.R) R , N a proper submodule of M and I an ideal of R Then N is a semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if $I^3m \subseteq N$ implies $I^2m \subseteq N$ or $I^3 \subseteq (N : M)$ for any ideal I of R .

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let I be ideal of R and let $m \in M$. Since R is P.I.R, then $I = \langle a \rangle$ for some $a \in R$. If $I^3 m \subseteq N$ then $\langle a \rangle^3 m \subseteq N$, therefore $a^3 m \in N$ which implies that $a^2 m \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N : M)$ Thus $I^2 m \subseteq N$ or $I^3 \subseteq (N : M)$.

(\Leftarrow) It is clear .

Proposition 2.16: Let M_1, M_2 , be R -modules and $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, and let N and W be a proper Sub Modules of M_1 and M_2 respectively. Then

1) N is semi approximately 2-absorbing in M_1 if and only if $N \oplus M_2$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing in $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and

2) W is semi approximately 2-absorbing in M_2 if and only if $M_1 \oplus W$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing in M .

Proof: \Rightarrow

Let $a^3 (m_1, m_2) \in N \oplus M_2$, when $a \in R$ and $(m_1, m_2) \in M$ then

$a^3 m_1 \in N$ and $a^3 m_2 \in M_2$. Since N is semi approximately 2-absorbing in M_1 implies that either $a^2 m_1 \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N : M_1)$. So that

$a^2 (m_1, m_2) \in N \oplus M_2$ Or $a^3 \in (N : M_1)$ then $a^3 \in (N \oplus M_2 : M_1 \oplus M_2)$.

Hence $N \oplus M_2$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing in $M_1 \oplus M_2$.

\Leftarrow Let $a^3 m_1 \in N$, where $a \in R$, $m_1 \in M_1$, then for any $m_2 \in M_2$, $a^3 (m_1, m_2) \in N \oplus M_2$. Since $N \oplus M_2$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing so either $a^2 (m_1, m_2) \in N \oplus M_2$, or $a^3 \in (N \oplus M_2 : M_1 \oplus M_2) = (N : M_1)$ Then $a^2 m_1 \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N : M_1)$, N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule in M . The proof of (2) is similarly.

Proposition 2.17: Let N semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of R -module

$M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and $\text{ann}M_1 + \text{ann}M_2 = R$ then

(1) $N = N_1 \oplus M_2$ and N_1 is semi approximately 2 – absorbing in M_1 .

(2) $N = M_1 \oplus N_2$ and N_2 is semi approximately 2 – absorbing in M_2 .

(3) $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$ and N_1 is semi approximately 2 – absorbing in M_1 and N_2 is semi approximately 2 – absorbing in M_2 .

proof: Since $\text{ann}M_1 + \text{ann}M_2 = R$, then by the proof of [1, Theorem 2.4]

$N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, for some submodules N_1 of M_1 and N_2 of M_2 .

We have

(1) $N_1 < M_1$, and $N_2 = M_2$

(2) $N_1 = M_1$, and $N_2 < M_2$,

(3) $N_1 < M_1$, and $N_2 < M_2$

Case (1) and (2): $N = N_1 \oplus M_2$ or $N = M_1 \oplus N_2$. Since N_1 and N_2 is semi approximately 2-absorbing in M_1 and M_2 , so by Proposition (2.1.16), then N_1, N_2 is semi approximately 2 – absorbing in M_1, M_2

Case (3): Let $a^3 m_1 \in N$, where $a \in R, m_1 \in M_1$. Then

$a^3 (m_1, 0) \in N = N_1 \oplus N_2$. Since N is semi approximately 2-absorbing in M , then either $a^2 (m_1, 0) \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N_1 \oplus N_2 : M_1 \oplus M_2)$, so $a^2 m_1 \in N_1$

Or $a^3 \in (N_1 : M_1)$. hence N_1 is semi approximately 2-absorbing in M_1 .

Similarly we get N_2 is semi approximately 2-absorbing in M_2 .

Proposition 2.18: If N_1, N_2 is semi approximately 2 – absorbing in M_1, M_2 , such that $(N_1 : M_1) = (N_2 : M_2)$. then $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$ semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$.

proof: Let $a^3 (m_1, m_2) \in N_1 \oplus N_2$ that is $a^3 m_1 \in N_1$ and $a^3 m_2 \in N_2$. since N_1, N_2 is semi approximately 2 – absorbing, then $a^2 m_1 \in N_1$ or

$a^3 \in (N_1 : M_1)$ and $a^2 m_2 \in N_2$ or $a^3 \in (N_2 : M_2) = (N_1 : M_1)$, so

$a^2 m_1 \in N_1$ and $a^2 m_2 \in N_2$ or $a^3 \in (N_1 : M_1)$ thus

$a^2 (m_1, m_2) \in N_1 \oplus N_2$ or $a^3 \in (N : M)$. hence is a semi approximately -2-absorbing.

proposition 2.19: Let N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M and S multiplicative subset of R , then $S^{-1}N$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing $S^{-1}R$ -submodule of $S^{-1}M$.

proof: Let $\frac{a}{s_1} \in S^{-1}R, \frac{\bar{m}}{s_2} \in S^{-1}M$, then $(\frac{a}{s_1})^3 \frac{\bar{m}}{s_2} \in S^{-1}N$ then There exists $t \in S$ such that $ta^3 m = a^3 tm \in N$ since N is semi approximately 2-absorbing so either $a^2 tm \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N : M)$ so

then $\frac{a^2 tm}{s_1 s_2 t} = \frac{a^2 m}{s_1 s_2} \in S^{-1}N$, or $\frac{a^3}{s_1} \in S^{-1}(N : M) \subseteq (S^{-1}N : S^{-1}M)$ then

$\frac{a^2 m}{s_1 s_2} \in S^{-1}N$ or $\frac{a^3}{s_1} \in (S^{-1}N : S^{-1}M)$.

Hence $S^{-1}N$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing.

3. Semi approximately -2-Absorbing Modules.

In this section we introduce the concept of semi approximately -2-absorbing modules. Some of properties and relationships with other classes of modules are explained.

So we give the following definition :

Definition 3.1: An R -module M is called semi approximately -2-absorbing module if (0) is a semi approximately -2- absorbing submodule of M .

Remarks and Examples 3.2:

(1) Every a semi -2-absorbing module is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module.

(2) Every semi-prime module is a semi- approximately 2-absorbing module but the converse is not true in general, for example: Z_4 as Z -module is a semi approximately -2-absorbing since (0) is a semi approximately -2-absorbing submodule of Z_4 but it is not semi-prime.

(3) Every quasi-prime module is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module. But the converse is not true in general for example: Z_4 as Z -module is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module, but it is not quasi-prime since $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 0$ and $2 \cdot 1 \neq 0$

(4) Every submodule of semi approximately -2-absorbing module is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module.

Proposition 3.3: If M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module, then $ann_R M$ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal.

Proof: By applying Proposition (2.4) when $N = (0)$, we get the result.

Proposition 3.4: Let M be a multiplication R -module. Then M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module if and only if $ann M$ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal.

Proof : (\Rightarrow) It follows by Proposition (3.3).

(\Leftarrow) It follows by Proposition (2.5).

Corollary 3.5: Let M be a faithful multiplication R -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module

(2) R is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ring

Proof: (1) Since M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module, so by Proposition(3.4) $ann M$ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal . But

$ann M = (0)$. Thus (0) is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal, that is R is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ring.

(2) R is a semi approximately -2-absorbing, so (0_R) is a semi approximately -2-absorbing, but $(0_R) = ann_R M$ since M is faithful. Thus M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module by Proposition(3.4).

Proposition 3.6: Let M be an R -module. If M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module, then $ann N$ is a semi approximately -2-absorbing ideal for each nonzero submodule N of M .

Proof: Let N be a nonzero submodule of M , first $ann_R N \neq R$ because if $ann_R = R$, then $N = (0)$ which is a contradiction!

Now let $a^3 b \in ann N$ for some $a, b \in R$. Then $a^3 b N = 0$. Since M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module, so by Proposition (2.3) either

$a^2bN=(0)$ or $a^3 \in ((0): M)$ and hence either $a^2b \in \text{ann}N$ or $a^3 \in \text{ann}N$, since $\text{ann}M \subseteq \text{ann}N$. Thus $\text{ann}N$ is a semi approximately-2-absorbing ideal.

Recall that " Let R be an integral domain. A module M is called divisible if, for every $0 \neq r \in R$ then $rM = M$ "[5]

Proposition 3.7: Over an integral domain R . Then M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module if and only if M is a quasi-prime module.

Proof : (\Rightarrow) Let $abm=0$, where $a, b \in R, m \in M$

If $ab=0$ then $a=0$ or $b=0$, so $am=0$ or $bm=0$

If $ab \neq 0$, then $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$ since R is integral domain.

If $am=0$ we are done. If $am \neq 0$ and $a \neq 0$ and M is divisible, then

$$a^2M = M, \text{ So } m = a^2m' \text{ then } abm = aba^2m' = a^3bm = 0.$$

But (0) is semi approximately -2-absorbing implies that, either $a^2b m' = 0$ or $a^3 \in \text{ann} M$.

If $a^3 \in \text{ann} M$ then $a^3M=0$ but $a \neq 0$ then $a^2 \neq 0$.

It follows that $a^3M = M = 0$ which is a contradiction!

Therefore at $a^3 \notin \text{ann} M$. Thus $abm=0$, so $a^2b m' = 0$ so $bm = 0$

Thus (0) is quasi-prime.

(\Leftarrow) It is clear.

Corollary 3.8: Let M be a nonzero divisible module over an integral domain R . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) M is a semi approximately -2-absorbing module.
- (2) M is a quasi-prime module.
- (3) M is a prime module.

Proof: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) It follows by Proposition (3.7).

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) It follows by [6, Proposition (1.5.10)]

Proposition 3.9: Let N be submodule of an R -module M . Then N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule if and only if $\frac{M}{N}$

is semi approximately 2-absorbing module.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let $a^3(x+N) = N = 0_{\frac{M}{N}}$, where $a \in R, x \in M$

Then $a^3x+N = N$, so $a^3x \in N$. Since N is semi approximately 2-absorbing,

then either $a^2x \in N$ or $a^3 \in (N:M)$, hence we get either

$$a^2(x+N) = N = 0_{\frac{M}{N}} \text{ or } a^3 \in (0_{\frac{M}{N}} : \frac{M}{N}) \text{ since } (N:M) = \text{ann } \frac{M}{N}$$

Hence $\frac{M}{N}$ is semi approximately 2-absorbing module.

(\Leftarrow) let $a^3x \in N$ are $a, \in R, x \in M$. Then $a^3(x+N) = N = 0_{\frac{M}{N}}$ but $0_{\frac{M}{N}}$

is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule, then

$$a^2(x+N) = N \text{ or } a^3 \in \text{ann } \frac{M}{N} = (N:M) \text{ so that } a^2x \in N \text{ or } a^3 \in (N:M).$$

Hence N is semi approximately 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Proposition 3.10: An R -module M is a semi approximately 2-absorbing module if and only if either $\text{anna}^2m = \text{anna}^3m$ for any $m \in M$ such that $a^3m \neq 0$ or $a^3M = 0$

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let $r \in \text{anna}^3m, a^3m \neq 0$. Then $a^3rm = 0$. But M is a semi approximately 2-absorbing and $a^3 \notin \text{ann}M$, so that $a^2rm = 0$; that is $r \in \text{anna}^2m$. Thus $\text{anna}^2m = \text{anna}^3m$.

(\Leftarrow) It is clear.

Proposition 3.11: Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ be an R -module. If M is a semi approximately 2-absorbing module, then M_1 and M_2 are semi approximately 2-absorbing module.

Proof: By Remarks and Examples 3.2 part 3 the result hold.

Theorem 3.12: Let M_1 and M_2 be prime R -modules. Then $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ is a semi approximately 2-absorbing module.

Proof: Let $a^3(m_1, m_2) = (0, 0)$ where $a \in R, (m_1, m_2) \in M$. Then $a^3m_1 = 0$ and

$$a^3m_2 = 0 \text{ that } a(a^2m_1) = 0 \text{ and } a(a^2m_2) = 0.$$

Since M_1 and M_2 be a prime R -module either $(a^2m_1 = 0 \text{ or } a \in \text{ann}M_1)$ and

$$(a^2m_2 = 0 \text{ or } a \in \text{ann}M_2).$$

(1) If $a \in \text{ann}M_1$ and $a \in \text{ann}M_2$, then $a \in \text{ann}M_1 \cap \text{ann}M_2 = \text{ann}M$ but $a \in \text{ann}M$ so $a^3 \in \text{ann}M$.

(2) If $a^2m_1 = 0$ and $a^2m_2 = 0$, then $a^2(m_1, m_2) = 0$.

Thus M is a semi approximately 2-absorbing module.

Note: As an application of theorem (3.12), each of the following Z -module semi-2-absorbing modules : $Z_p \oplus Z_q, Z_p \oplus Z_p, Z_p \oplus Z, Q \oplus Z, Z \oplus Z, Q \oplus Q$

where p, q are two prime numbers.

Proposition 3.13: Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ be an R -module such that $\text{ann}M_1 = \text{ann}M_2$. Then M semi approximately -2 -absorbing module if and only if M_1 and M_2 are semi approximately -2 -absorbing modules.

Proof: (\Leftarrow) Let $a^3(m_1, m_2) = (0, 0)$, where $a \in R, (m_1, m_2) \in M$

$a^3m_1 = 0$ and $a^3m_2 = 0$. Since M_1 and M_2 are semi approximately -2 -

absorbing modules, then either ($a^2m_1 = 0$ or $a^3 \in \text{ann}M_1$) and

($a^2m_2 = 0$ or $a^3 \in \text{ann}M_2 = \text{ann}M_1$).

It follows that ($a^2m_1 = 0$ and $a^2m_2 = 0$) or $a^3 \in \text{ann}M_1$

Hence $a^3(m_1, m_2) = (0, 0)$ or $a^3 \in \text{ann}M_1 = \text{ann}M_1 \cap \text{ann}M_2 = \text{ann}M$.

Thus $(0, 0)$ is a semi approximately -2 -absorbing so M semi approximately -2 -absorbing module.

(\Rightarrow) It is clear.

Proposition 3.14: For an R -module M The following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) M is semi approximately 2 -absorbing R -module
- (2) $\text{ann}_M I$ is semi approximately 2 -absorbing for each ideal I of R with $I \not\subseteq \text{ann}M$
- (3) $\text{ann}_M(r)$ is semi approximately 2 -absorbing for each ideal $r \in R$ with $r \notin \text{ann}M$.

proof: It follows directly by Proposition (2.8) and definition of semi approximately -2 -absorbing module.

Proposition 3.15: Let M is semi approximately 2 -absorbing comultiplication R -module. Then every proper submodule N of M is semi approximately 2 -absorbing submodule .

proof : Let N be proper submodule of M . Let $\text{ann}_R N = I$ where I is an ideal of R

So $\text{ann}_M \text{ann}_R N = N$, then $N = \text{ann}_M I$. since if, $I \subseteq \text{ann}_R M$

So $\text{ann}_R N = \text{ann}_R M$. It follows $N = \text{ann}_M \text{ann}_R N = \text{ann}_M \text{ann}_R M = M$, then $N = M$ which is a contradiction! Then by Proposition (3.14),

$\text{ann}_M I = N$ is semi approximately 2 -absorbing sub module.

Proposition 3.16: Let S is multiplicative subset of R and M is an R -module, If M is a semi-approximately 2 -absorbing module, then $S^{-1}M$ is a semi approximately -2 -absorbing module.

Proof: It follows by Proposition (2.19)

Lemma 2.2.17: Let M be an R -module and let $A, B < M$. Then $A = B \Leftrightarrow A_p = B_p$, for every maximal idea P of R . [21]

Corollary 3.18: Let M be a finitely generated R -module. if M_P is a semi approximately -2 -absorbing R_P -module for each P maximal ideal of R , then M is a semi approximately -2 -absorbing R -module.

References

- [1] C.P. Lu, Prime submodules of modules, *Comm. Math., University Spatula*, 33 (1981), 61-69.
- [2] E.A. Athab, Prime submodules and semiprime submodules, M.sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad, 1996.
- [3] A.Y.Darain, F.Soheilnia, 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodule. 2011.
- [4] M.A. Inaam, A.H. Abdurehman, Semi-2-Absorbing Submodules and Semi2-Absorbing Modules, *International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research*, 3 (2015), 521-530.
- [5] D.W. Sharpe and P.Vamos, *Injective modules*. Cambridge University, press 1972.
- [6] H.M.Abdul-Razak, Quasi-prime Modules and Quasi-orime submodules, M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education Ibn-Haitham, University of Baghdad, 1999.