

On σ -Convergent Triple Sequences Spaces Defined by Triple Sequence of Young Functions

Authors Names	ABSTRACT
<p><i>Aqeel Mohammed Hussein</i></p> <p>Publication data: 31 /8 /2023</p> <p>Keywords: Triple sequence space , σ-convergent , young function , linear space , ideal sequence algebra</p>	<p>In this article, we introduce the concept of σ-convergent triple sequences spaces, which are defined by triple sequences of young functions $(c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathbb{U})$, $(c)^{3\sigma}(\mathbb{U})$, $(\ell_\infty)^{3\sigma}(\mathbb{U})$, $(\mathbb{m}_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathbb{U})$, and $(\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathbb{U})$ and we examine some of their topological and algebraic properties, such as linear space and sequence algebra. Inclusion relations involving these sequence spaces are also proved by us.</p>

1. Introduction

Fast [4] and Schoenberg [11] independently each presented the idea of statistical convergence for the first time. This idea was expanded upon by Kumar [6] in probabilistic normed space. The σ -convergence double sequence spaces were first introduced by Khan and Khan ([7],[8]). Tripathy [13] established the concept of statistical convergent double sequence and developed it in σ -convergent double sequence.

The double sequence space connected to multiplier sequences was researched by Tripathy and Sen [14]. A generalization of statistical convergence is the idea of σ -convergence. Kostyrko, Salat, and Wilczynski [5] established the concept of σ -convergence of real sequence in its earliest stage. Later, Salat, Tripathy, and Ziman [12] and other other scholars investigated it. Utilizing triple difference sequences of real numbers, Tripathy and Goswami [15] expanded this idea in probabilistic normed space. Sahiner, Gurdal, and Duden [10] introduced and studied the many conceptions of triple sequences in the beginning.

This idea is generalized by Dutta, Esi, and Tripathy [1] using the Orlicz function. In their study of σ -related features in triple sequence spaces, Sahiner and Tripathy [9] produced several intriguing findings. Recently, Tripathy and Goswami ([16],[17]) explored multiple sequences in probabilistic normed spaces and vector valued multiple sequences using the Orlicz function, respectively. By utilizing the difference operator, Debnath, Sharma, and Das [3] and Debnath and Das [2] generalized these ideas.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

$\Omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous, non-decreasing , and convex with $\Omega(0) = 0, \Omega(\mathfrak{X}) > 0$ as $\mathfrak{X} > 0$ and $\Omega(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \infty$ implies Ω is an Orlicz function .

$\mathcal{H} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \ni \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{X}) = \frac{\Omega(\mathfrak{X})}{\mathfrak{X}}$, $\mathfrak{X} > 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(0) = 0, \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{X}) > 0$ as $\mathfrak{X} > 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \infty$ tend to \mathcal{H} is a young function .

The conditions are holds :

- (i) $\phi \in \sigma$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \in \sigma$ implies $\mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B} \in \sigma$.
- (iii) $\mathbb{A} \in \sigma, \mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{A}$ implies $\mathbb{B} \in \sigma$ lead to $\sigma \subset \mathbb{X} \neq \phi$ is an ideal in \mathbb{X} .

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \sigma$ pointing to a sequence $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}})$ is σ -Convergence to a number \mathbb{L} .

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0, \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_0, \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}_0 \ni \{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathfrak{S}_{\varepsilon\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{c}}| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \sigma$ implies $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}})$ is σ -Cauchy. $\exists \mathcal{M} > 0 \ni \{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}| > \mathcal{M}\} \in \sigma$ lead to $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}})$ is σ -Bounded.

$(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} * \mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in \mathbb{E}^3$, whenever $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in \mathbb{E}^3$ and $(\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in \mathbb{E}^3$ tend to a triple sequences space \mathbb{E}^3 is a sequence algebra. We provide and define these spaces as follows in this study:

$$(c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) = \{\mathfrak{S} \in \mathbb{W}^3 : \sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|) = 0\} \in \sigma.$$

$$(c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) = \{\mathfrak{S} \in \mathbb{W}^3 : \sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}|) = 0, \text{ for some } \mathbb{L}\} \in \sigma.$$

$$(\ell_\infty)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) = \{\mathfrak{S} \in \mathbb{W}^3 : \sup_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f} \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|) < \infty\} \in \sigma.$$

$$(\mathbb{m}_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) = (c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) \cap (\ell_\infty)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}).$$

$$(\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) = (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) \cap (\ell_\infty)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}).$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1: $(c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), (\ell_\infty)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), (\mathbb{m}_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$, and $(\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ are linear spaces.

Proof: Assume that $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}), (\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ and α, β be two scalars such that

$|\alpha| \leq 1$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$. Then

$$\sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_1|) = 0, \text{ for some } \mathbb{L}_1 \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_2|) = 0, \text{ for some } \mathbb{L}_2 \in \mathbb{C}$$

Now, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we can write

$$\mathbb{A}_1 = \left\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_1|) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \in \sigma. \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbb{A}_2 = \left\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_2|) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \in \sigma. \quad (2)$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}$ is a young function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|(\alpha\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} + \beta\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) - (\alpha\mathbb{L}_1 + \beta\mathbb{L}_2)|) &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|(\alpha\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \alpha\mathbb{L}_1) + (\beta\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \beta\mathbb{L}_2)|) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\alpha||\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_1|) + \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\beta||\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_2|) = |\alpha|\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_1|) + |\beta|\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_2|) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_1|) + \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}_2|). \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain

$$\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|(\alpha\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} + \beta\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) - (\alpha\mathbb{L}_1 + \beta\mathbb{L}_2)|) > \varepsilon\} \subset \mathbb{A}_1 \cup \mathbb{A}_2.$$

Therefore $\alpha\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} + \beta\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} \in (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$. Thus, $(c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ is a linear space.

Other cases are similar.

Theorem 3.2: A sequence $\mathfrak{S} = (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ is σ -convergence $\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{J}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{K}_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} \ni$

$$\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_\varepsilon\mathbb{J}_\varepsilon\mathbb{K}_\varepsilon}| \leq \varepsilon\} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}).$$

Proof: Let $\mathbb{L} = \sigma - \lim \mathfrak{S}$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{A}_\varepsilon = \left\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Next fix $\mathbb{I}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{J}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{K}_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_\varepsilon$ then we have

$$|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_\varepsilon\mathbb{J}_\varepsilon\mathbb{K}_\varepsilon}| \leq |\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}| + |\mathbb{L} - \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_\varepsilon\mathbb{J}_\varepsilon\mathbb{K}_\varepsilon}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon, \forall \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f} \in \mathbb{A}_\varepsilon.$$

Thus, $\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_\varepsilon\mathbb{J}_\varepsilon\mathbb{K}_\varepsilon}| \leq \varepsilon\} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$.

Conversely, suppose that $\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_\varepsilon\mathbb{J}_\varepsilon\mathbb{K}_\varepsilon}| \leq \varepsilon\} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$, we get

$\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{K}_{\varepsilon}}| \leq \varepsilon\} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), \forall \varepsilon > 0$, then we can find the set $\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon} = \{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} \in [\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{K}_{\varepsilon}} - \varepsilon, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{K}_{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon]\} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$.

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, consider $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon} = [\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{K}_{\varepsilon}} - \varepsilon, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{I}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{K}_{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon]$.

Now we have $\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ as well as $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$, hence $\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathbb{B}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ which implies

$\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathbb{B}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} \in \mathbb{N}\} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ which implicates $\text{diam } \mathbb{M} \leq \text{diam } \mathbb{M}_{\varepsilon}$ where the length of the interval \mathbb{N} is indicated by the diam of \mathbb{M} .

Thus, using the principle of induction, we discovered the series of closed intervals

$$\mathbb{M}_{\varepsilon} = \sigma_0 \supseteq \sigma_1 \supseteq \sigma_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \sigma_s \supseteq \dots$$

with the help of the property that $\text{diam } \sigma_s \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } \sigma_{s-1}, \forall s = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $\{(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} \in \sigma_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}\} \in (\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), \forall \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f} = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

Then $\exists \xi \in \cap \sigma_s$ where $s \in \mathbb{N} \ni \xi = \sigma - \lim \mathfrak{S}$, so that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(\xi) = \sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(\mathfrak{S})$ therefore $\mathbb{L} = \sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(\mathfrak{S})$.

Theorem 3.3: $(c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) \subset (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) \subset (\ell_{\infty})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$.

Proof: We consider $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$. Then $\exists \mathbb{L} \in \mathbb{C} \ni \sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}|) = 0$,

we get $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} - \mathbb{L}|) + \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathbb{L}|)$.

On taking supremum over $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}$, and \mathfrak{f} on both sides gives $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in (\ell_{\infty})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$.

Therefore $(c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) \subset (\ell_{\infty})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$. The direction $(c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) \subset (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$.

Thus, $(c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) \subset (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}) \subset (\ell_{\infty})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$.

Theorem 3.4: $(c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), (c)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), (\ell_{\infty})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U}), (\mathbb{m}_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$, and $(\mathbb{m})^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ are sequence algebras.

Proof: Let $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}), (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in (c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$, then we have $\sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|) = 0$ and

$\sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|) = 0$.

Now we obtain $\sigma - \lim \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}(|\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} \cdot \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}|) = 0$. It implies that $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}} \cdot \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}}) \in (c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$

Thus, $(c_0)^{3\sigma}(\mathcal{U})$ is a sequence algebra.

Other cases are similar.

References

- [1] A.J. Datta, A. Esi & B.C. Tripathy, "Statistically convergent triple sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function", J. Math. Anal., 4(2), pp. 16-22, (2013).
- [2] S. Debnath & B.C. Das, "Some new type of difference triple sequence spaces", Palestine J. Math. Vol. 4(2), pp. 284-290, (2015).
- [3] S. Debnath, B. Sharma & B.C. Das, "Some generalized triple sequence spaces of real numbers", J. Nonlinear Anal. Opti. 6(1), pp. 71-79, (2015).
- [4] H. Fast, "Surla convergence statistique", Colloq. Math., 2, pp. 241-244, (1951).
- [5] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat & W. Wilczynski, "I-convergence", Real Anal. Exch. 26(2), pp. 669-686, (2000).
- [6] V. Kumar, "On I-convergence of double sequences", Math. Commun., 12, pp. 171-181, (2007).
- [7] V.A. Khan & N. Khan, "On some I-convergent double sequence space defined by a modulus function", Scientific Research, 5, pp. 35-40, (2013).
- [8] V.A. Khan & N. Khan, "On some I-convergent double sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli", Ilirias Journal of Mathematics, 4(2), pp.1-8, (2013).

- [9] A. Sahiner & B.C. Tripathy, "Some I-related properties of Triple sequences", Selcuk. J. Appl. Math., 9(2), pp. 9-18, (2008).
- [10] A. Sahiner, M. Gurdal & K. Duden, "Triple sequences and their statistical convergence", Selcuk. J. Appl. Math., 8(2), pp. 49-55, (2007).
- [11] I.J. Schoenberg, "The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods", Amer. Math. Monthly, 66, pp. 361-375, (1959).
- [12] T. Salat, B.C. Tripathy & M. Ziman, "On some properties of I-convergence", Tatra Mountain Mathematical Publications, pp. 669-686, (2000).
- [13] B.C. Tripathy, "Statistically convergent double sequence", Tamkang. J. Math., 34(3), pp. 231-237, (2003).
- [14] B.C. Tripathy & M. Sen, "Paranormed I-convergent double sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences", KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 54, pp. 321-332, (2014).
- [15] B.C. Tripathy & R. Goswami, "On triple difference sequences of real numbers in probabilistic normed spaces", Proyecciones J. Math., 33(2), pp. 157-174, (2014).
- [16] B.C. Tripathy & R. Goswami, "Vector valued multiple sequences defined by Orlicz functions", Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat., 33 (1), pp. 67-79, (2015).
- [17] B.C. Tripathy & R. Goswami, "Multiple sequences in probabilistic normed spaces", Afrika Matematika, 26 (5-6), pp. 753-760, (2015).