Fuzzy Weak maximal Ideals

Authors Names	ABSTRACT
^a Maysoun A. Hamel	In this paper we introduced and study the notions fuzzy weak maximal ideals as a
^b Hatam Y. Khalaf	generalization of weak maximal ideals, Also, we give many basic properties
Publication data: 31 /8 /2023	
Keywords: Fuzzy ideals ,Fuzzy	
rings, quotient Fuzzy rings ,regular.	

1. Introduction

"An ideal *I* of a rang *R* is called weak maximal ideal if and only if R/I is a regulear ring [1]". In this paper, we fuzzily the concept to weak maximal ideals. Many properties and connections with other concepts are given.

2. preliminaries

First we give the following :

Definition 1.1 [2]: Let R be a ring , let X: $R \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called Fuzzy ring if and only if for each $x, y \in R$,

1. $X(x + y) \ge \min\{X(x), X(y)\}.$

- 2. $X(x, y) \ge \min\{X(x), X(y)\}.$
- 3. $X(x) = X(-x)^{"}$

Moreover the set $X_t = \{x \in R, X(x) \ge t\}$ and $X_* = \{x \in R, X(x) = X(0)\}$ are subrings of R''. "Definition 1.2, [3]: A F- subset K of a ring R is called a Fuzzy ideal of R if for each $x, y \in R$:

 $1 - K(x-y) \ge min \{K(x), K(y)\}.$

 $2 - K(xy) \ge max \{ K(x), K(y) \}''$

"Proposition 1.3,[3]:

A F- subset K of R is a F- ideal of R if and only if $\forall t \in [0,1]$, K_t , is an ideal of R. Moreover, if K is a F- ideal of R then $K_* = \{x \in R, A(x) = A(0)\}$ is an ideal of R ".

"Proposition 1.4 [4]: Let *A* and *B* be two Fuzzy ideals of *R*. Then:

1- AB is a F- ideal of R 2- $(AB)_t = A_t B_t$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ ". 3-

Corollary 1.5: Let A and B be two Fuzzy ideals of R. Then: $(AB)_* = A_*B_*$.

"Proposition 1.6[4]: Let X be a F-ring of R and A be a F-ideal of R such that $A \leq X$. Then, A is a F-ideal of F-ring ".

"Definition 1.7 [4]: Let X be a F- ring of R, A is a F-ideal of X such that $A \neq X$, A(0) = X(0). A is called a maximal F-ideal of X iff for any B is F -ideal of X, $A \leq B \leq X$, then $A_* = B_*$ or B = X".

"Definition 1.8 [5]: A F- ideal K of a ring R is called pure if and only if $K \cap J = KJ$, for each F- ideal J of R."

^{a, b}University of Baghdad, College of Education for pure Sciences, Ibn-Al-Haitham, Baghdad, Iraq, E-mail:

^amaysoon.a.h@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq, ^b*dr.hatamyahya*@yahoo.com

"Proposition 1.9,[5]: Let A be a F- ideal of R, then A is pure if and only if A_t is a pure ideal of R, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ ".

"Definition 1.10 [5]: A F- ring X is called regular if every F- ideal of X is pure".

"Proposition 1.11,[5]: Let X be a F- ring, X is a regular F- ring if and only if X_t is regular ring X, $\forall t \in [0,1]$ ".

Lemma 1.12: Let *H* be a F- ring of *R*. If *H* is a regular F- ring, then H_* is a regular ring. The converse hold if condition (*) hold.

Proof: If H is a F- regular ring, then H_t is a regular ring by [30]. Hence, every ideal of H_t is pure. Hence, for any F- ideal A of H, A_* is a pure ideal in H_* . Thus, H_* is regular ring.

Conversely, if every ideal of X_* is pure, then for each F- ideal A of X, A_* is a pure in H.

Now for any F- ideal B of H, B_{*} is a pure in H. Hence, $A_* \cap B_* = A_*B_*$, since A_* is a pure in HX_{*}. It follows that $A_* \cap B_* = (A \cap B)_* = (AB)_*$

So by Condition (*), $A \cap B = AB$, and so A is pure in H. Thus, H is regular. Lemma 1.13:

If G is a reguler rang, let H be a F- reing over G, then H is a F-reguler ring. Proof:

If A be a F- ideal of X, implies $A_t \leq H_t$. But G is a reguler ring, So H_t is regular, $\forall t \in [0,1]$. Therefore, A_t is pure in H_t , $\forall t \in [0,1]$.

So *A* is pure in *H*. Thus, *H* is a F- regular ring .

Areeg in [6] introduced the following definition:

Definition 1. 14, [6]: Let X be a F- ring of a ring R and A be a F- ideal in X. Define $X/A: R/A_* \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that

 $X/A (a + A_*) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in A_*, \\ \sup\{X(a + b) & \text{if } b \in A_*, a \notin A_* \end{cases}$ For all $a + A_* \in \mathbb{R} / A_*$. X/A is called a quotient F- ring of X by A".

Proposition .1.15,[6]: If X is a F- ring of a ring R and A is a F- ideal in X , then X/A is a F-ring in R/A_* .

Proposition 1.16 [7] : First Fuzzy isomorphism Theorem Let X and Y be F-modules and a f be a F-homomorphism between then X/Kerf \cong f(X).

Proposition 1.17 [7]: Second Fuzzy isomorphism Theorem"

"Let X be a F-module of an R- module M. Let A, B be a F- submodules of X with $A \le B$. Then B/A is a F-submodule of X/A and $(X/A)/(B/A) \cong X/B$.

3. Fuzzy Weak Maximal Ideals

Definition 2.1: Let H be a F- ring and K be a F- ideal of H, then K is called a weak maximal F-ideal if H/K is a F- regular ring.

Proposition 2.2: Let *H* be a F- ring of a ring *M* and *K* is a F- ideal of *H*, then *K* is a weak maximal Fideal if and only if K_* is a weak maximal ideal. Provided $(H/K)_* = H_*/K_*$ and Condition (*) hold of F- ideals of H/K. Proof: Let K be a weak maximal F- ideal of H, then H/K is a regular F-ring. Hence, $(H/K)_*$ is a regular ring .

But $(H/K)_* = H_*/K_*$. Hence X_*/K_* is regular and so K_* is a weak maximal ideal in H_* .

Conversely; to prove K is a weak maimal F-ideal.

If K_* is a weak maintail ideal in X_* , then H_*/K_* is a regular ring.

But $(H/K)_* = H_*/K_*$. Hence, $(X/K)_*$ is regular ring.

Thus, H/K is a regular F- ring, by Lemma 1.12.

Therefore, *K* is a weak maximal F-ideal.

Lemma 2.3: Let H be regular F-rng and A be a F- ideal of H, then H/A is a regular F-ring. Proof:

Let B/A be F- ideal of H/A. To prove H/A is F- regular. For any C/A F- ideal of H/A $(B/A) \cap (C/A) \approx (B \cap C)/A$. But B and C are pure F- ideals of H, so $B \cap C = BC$ Hence $(B \cap C)$. (C)/A) = (BC)/A) = (B/A).(C/A)Thus, $(B/A) \cap (C/A) = (B/A) \cdot (C/A)$. Thus H/A is a F- regular ring.

Remarks and Examples 2.4:

1) Every semimaximal F-ideal is F- week maximal ideal. Provided $(H/K)_* = H_*/K_*$. Proof:

If K is a semimaximal ideal, then $K = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \cap K_i$, K_i is a maimal F-ideal of X, $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Then, $H/K = X / {n \atop i=1} \cap K_i \approx F$ - ideals of ${n \choose i=1} \oplus X_i / K_i \approx B$.

But $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$. (H_i / K_i) is simple, hence B is semisimple and so it is reguler. Thus, H/K is a regular ring and K is a weak maimal F- ideal and

The an example show the converse is not true:

Let $H: Z \to [0,1]$, defined by X(x) = 1, $\forall x \in Z$, let $C: Z \to [0,1]$ defined by: $C(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 3/4 \\ 1/2 \end{cases}$, defined by X(x)if $x \in 6Z$. if $x \in 2Z - 6Z$, otherwise

It is clear that C is a F- ideal of F- rng H and $H_* = Z$, $C_* = 6Z$, which is clear that C_* is a weak maximal in H_* . Also K is a weak maxmal F- ideal in H, since $H/C: Z/6Z \approx Z_6 \rightarrow [0,1]$ and Z_6 is reguler, so by Lemma 1.13, H/C is regular.

But C is not a semimaxiamal ideal of H since image of C=3 [[8], Theorem 2.10]

2) A F- subideal of weak maximal ideal need not to be weak maximal F-ideal, for example:

Let $H: Z_{12} \rightarrow [0,1]$, defined by: X(x) = 1, $\forall x \in Z_{12}$ Let $C(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in <\bar{2} >, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ And $V(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in <\bar{4} >, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

C and *V* are F- ideals in a F- ring *H* and note that $C_* = \langle \bar{2} \rangle$, $V_* = \langle \bar{4} \rangle$, we have $H_* / C_* = Z_2$ is a reguler ring. Since $\frac{H}{c}$: $Z_{12}/C_* \approx Z_2$: \rightarrow [0,1] and Z_2 is a reguler ring. Hence $\frac{H}{c}$ is a reguler F- ring by Lemma 3.1.3.

So H/C is a F- regular ring. Therefore, C is a F- weak maximal ideal.

But $(H/V)_* = Z_4$ is not regular ring.

Hence, H/V is not regular F-ring.

Thus, V is not is F- weak maximal ideal of H.

3) The fuzzy direct summand of weak maximal ideal need not be necessary F- weak maximal ideal . For example:

Let $H: \mathbb{Z}_{24} \rightarrow [0,1]$, defined by: H(x) = 1, $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_{24}$.

Let $A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \langle \overline{8} \rangle, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ Define $B: Z \to [0,1]$ by: $B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \langle \overline{6} \rangle, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ $X/A \oplus B: Z_{24}/\langle \overline{2} \rangle \approx Z_2 \to [0,1] \text{ and } Z_2 \text{ is reguler ring }, \text{ so by Lemma 1.13, } X/A \oplus B \text{ is regular Lemma 1.13, } X/A \oplus B \text{ is } X \oplus B$

regular. Hence $A \oplus B$ is weak maximal.

But $A_* = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$ is not a weak maximal ideal. Therefore A is not F- weak maximal ideal.

Lemma 2.5: Every semisimple F-ring X a F-regulear ring. Proof:

If G be semisimple F- ring, then G_t is a semisimple ring, then, G_t is semisimple ring, $\forall t \in [0,1]$. Hence, G_t is regular ring, $\forall t \in [0,1]$. And so G is a regular F-ring by Lemma 1.13.

Corollary 2.6: Every F- ideal of semisimple F- rng is a F- weak maximal ideal. Proof:

Let K be F- ideal in semisimple F- ring H, So H/K is semisimple F-ring. That is H/K is a F- regular ring by Lemma 2.3. So K is a F- weak maximal ideal.

Proposition 2.7: A proper F- ideal of Boolean F-rng is a F- weak maximal ideal. Proof:

If M is a F- Boolean ring, then M is regular F- rng [9], therefore each F- ideal A of M, then the equotient M by /A is regular and so that A is a weak F-maximal ideal.

Corollary 2.8 :

Every F- proper ideal of F- ring regulaer is a F- weak maximal ideal.

Proof:

Let H be F- regular ring, let $C \leq H$, by Lemma 1.13, H/C is a F- regular ring. Therefore, C is a F- weak maximal ideal.

Proposition 2.9: If D and S be F- ideals of F- ring H such that $D \leq S$. Then, S is F- weak maximal ideal in H iff B/A is a weak F- maximal ideal in H/D. Proof:

If $D \leq S$ and S is F- weak maximal ideal in X, then H/D is a regular F- rieng. To prove S/D is Fweak maximal ideal H/D, Since $\frac{H/D}{S/D} \approx H/S$ by second fuzzy isomorphism Theorem. But H/S is a regular F- ring. Then, S/D is a weak F- maximal in H/D.

Conversely, since B/A is a F-- weak maximal in X/A, implies $\frac{X/A}{B/A} \approx X/B$, so X/B a regular F- rings. Thus, B is a F- weak maximal ideal in X.

Proposition 2.10: Let A and B be two F- ideals of F- ring X such that $A \leq B$. If A is a F- weak maximal ideal in X. Then B is a F- weak maximal ideal in X. Proof:

If A is a F- maximal ideal in X, then X/A is a F- regular ring.

Then, by Second fuzzy isomorphism theorem, we have $(X/A)/(B/A) \approx X/B$. Hence, X/B is a Fregular by Lemma 1.13. Therefore, B is a F- weak maximal ideal.

Corollary 2.11: If A and B are two F- weak maximal ideals of F- ring X, then A + B is a F- weak maximal ideal.

Corollary 2.12: If $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite family of F- weak maximal ideals of ring X, then, $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ is a F-weak maximal ideal of X.

Proposition 2.13: The intersection of two F- weak maximal ideals A and B of F –ring X is also F-weak maximal ideal such that A(0) = B(0). Proof:

 $X/(A \cap B) \approx$ fuzzy subring of $(X/A \oplus X/B)$, but X/A and X/B are F- regular rings since A, B are Fweak maximal ideals, hence $(X/A \oplus X/B)$ is F- regular ring and so any F- subring of $(X/A \oplus X/B)$ is a F- regular ring. Thus, $X/(A \cap B)$ regular and so $A \cap B$ is F- weak maximal ideal.

Remark 2.14: The intersection of an infinite of collection of F- weak maximal ideals of F- ring X need not be a F- weak maximal ideal of X, as the following example show: Let $X: Z \to [0,1]$, defined by: $X(x) = 1, \forall x \in Z$. Let $A_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in pZ, p \text{ is a prime number }, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ However, $(\cap A_p)_* = (0)$ is not weak maximal ideal of Z, since $X_*/(\cap A_p)_* \approx Z$ which is not regular. Thus, $\cap A_p$ is not F- weak maximal ideal of X.

Proposition 2.15: If A_1 and A_2 are two F- weak maximal ideals of F- ring X_1 and X_2 respectively, then $A \oplus B$ is also F- weak maximal ideal in $X_1 \oplus X_2$. Proof: It is similar to proof of Corollary 2.11.

Corollary 2.16: If $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a F- weak maximal ideals of fuzzy ring X_i , i = 1, 2, ... n, then $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ is a F- weak maximal ideal. Provided $(X/A)_* = \frac{X_*}{4}$.

Proposition 2.17: If G is a reguler ring, then every a F- ideal of F ring H- over G is a weak maximal ideal.

Proof:Since G is regular, then H is a F- regular ring by Lemma 1.13.

Hence, $\forall A \leq H$, H/A is a F- regular by Lemma 2.3. Therefore, A is a F- weak maximal ideal.

Now we shall give some characterizations of F- regular ring which needed later .

Lemma 2.18: If M be a F- ring, then M is regular if and only if every principle F- ideal of X is generated by F- idempotent singleton.

Proof:

 (\rightarrow) Let $A = \langle x_t \rangle \leq X$. Since X is regular, then $x_t = x_t y_k x_t$, for some $y_k \in X$, let $\lambda = \min\{t, k\}$ and $y_k x_t = (yx)_{\lambda}$.

Put e = yx, then, $(e_{\lambda})^2 = (y_k x_t)(y_k x_t) = y_k(x_t y_k x_t) = y_k x_t = e_{\lambda}$.

Hence, e_{λ} is an idempotent. It is easy to show that $A = \langle e_{\lambda} \rangle$, since $x_t = x_t e_{\lambda}$, implies $A = \langle x_t \rangle \leq \langle e_{\lambda} \rangle > Also e_{\lambda} = y_k x_t$ implies $\langle e_{\lambda} \rangle \leq \langle x_t \rangle = A$

(\leftarrow) To prove X is regular. let $x_t \in X$. By hypothesis $\langle x_t \rangle = \langle e_{\lambda} \rangle$, for some F- idempotent singleton e_{λ} . Then, $x_t = y_k e_{\lambda} = (y_k e_{\lambda})e_{\lambda}$, but $e_{\lambda} \in \langle x_t \rangle$, So $e_{\lambda} = c_l x_t$, for some $c_l \in X$ and so $x_t = x_t c_l x_t$. Thus, X is regular.

Corollary 2.19: If *M* be a F- ring , let $N \le M$. Then *N* is a F- weak maximal ideal of *M* iff every F-principal ideal of M/N is generated by idempotent fuzzy singleton . Proof:

Let *n* be a F- weak maximal ideal of *M*, then M/N is reguler. The result follows by previous Lemma 2.18.

Lemma 2.20: If *M* be F- ring. Then *M* is regular if and only if every finitely generated F- ideal of *M* is a F- principal ideal such that N(0) = N(1). Proof:

Let *N* be finitely generated F- ideal of *M* ideal, hence N_t is finitely generated ideal, $\forall t \in [0,1]$ [10]. But *M* is a F- regular ring implies M_t is reguler ring. Thus, N_* is finitely generated ideal in M_* . That is N_* is a principal ideal in M_* . Therefore, *N* is a principal F- ideal [5].

Corollary 2.21: Let *X* be a F- ring. Then *X* is regular if and only if every F- finitely generated ideal of *X* is generated by F- singloten idempotent Proof:

By Lemma 2.20 every F- finitely generated ideal of X is a F-principal ideal , hence the result follows by Lemma 2.20.

Corollary 2.22: A F- ring X is regular if and only if every F- finitely generated ideal of X is a fuzzy direct summand .

Proof:

By Lemma 2.20, X is regular if and only if every F- finitely generated is a F- principal ideal .Hence by Lemma 2.20 X is regular if and only if every finitely generated F- ideal generated by F-iedempotent singleton .Thus, X is regular if and only if every F- finitely generated ideal of X is a direct-F- summand.

Conversely, since every finitely generated F- ideal is a fuzzy direct summand, so every F- principal ideal of X is a direct summand.

Corollary 2.23: If *M* be a F- rng , $N \le M$. Then *N* is a F- weak maximal ideal if and only if every finitely generated F- ideal of M/N is generated by F- idempotent singleton . Proof:

Since A is a F- weak maximal ideal , then M/N is regular. Hence, the result follows by Corollary 2.21.

Theorem 2.24: The following statements are equivalent:

1. N is a weak maximal F- ideal.

- 2. Every a finitely generated F- ideal of M/N is generated by F- ideempotent singleton.
- 3. Every a F- principal ideal of M/N is generated by F- idempotent singleton.

Proof:

 $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$ It follows by Corollary 2.21.

 $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ It is clear.

 $(3 \Rightarrow 1)$ By Lemma 2.22, M/N is F- regular. Hence A is a weak maximal F- ideal.

Theorem 2.25 :

If *M* be a F-ring, $N \leq M$, then the following are equivalent:

- 1- N is a weak maximal F- ideal.
- 2- Every a finitely generated F- ideal of M/N is a F- direct summand.
- 3- Every a principal F- ideal of M/N is a fuzzy direct summand.

Proof:

- $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$ It follows by Corollary 2.21
- $(2 \Leftrightarrow 3)$ It is clear since every a principal F- ideal is a finitely generated
- $(3 \Leftrightarrow 1)$ It follows by Theorem 2.24.

References

[1] Shwkaea M.R. ,2012, "Weak maximal ideals and weak maximal submodules ", Thesis, University of Tikrit, .

[2] Zadeh L. A., 1965, "Fuzzy Sets, Information and control", Vol. 8, PP. 338-353,

[3] Kumar R. ,1992, "Fuzzy Cosets and Some Fuzzy Radicals", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 46, PP. 261-265

- [4] Inaam M.A.H ., 2001, "On Fuzzy Ideals of Fuzzy Rings", Math. and Physics, Vol. 16, PP. 4.
- [5] Maysoun A. H. ,2002," F-regular fuzzy modules ", M. Sc. Thesis , University of Baghdad .
- [6] Areeg.T. H., 2000, " On Qusi Frobenius Fuzzy Rings ", M.Sc. Thesis , University of Baghdad ,
- [7] Martinez L.,1996," Fuzzy Modules Over Fuzzy Rings in connection with Fuzzy Ideal of Ring", J. Fuzzy Math. Vol. 4, PP. 843-87.
- [8] Inaam M.A.H.. Maysoun A.H. ,2009, "Fuzzy semimaximal ideals ", Ibn Al_hathiam for pure and application sciences, Vol(22), (2).
- [9] Wafaa R. H., 1999," Some results of fuzzy rings ", Thesis, University of Baghdad.
- [10] Inaam .M.A.H., Maysoun A. H., 2011, "Cancellation and Weakly Cancellation Fuzzy Modules", Journal of Basrah Reserchs sciences Vol.37 No.4.D.